#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



# Characterization of chemical components and cytotoxicity effects of indoor and outdoor fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in Xi'an, China

Xinyi Niu<sup>1,2</sup> • Kin Fai Ho<sup>1</sup> • Tafeng Hu<sup>2,3</sup> • Jian Sun<sup>4</sup> • Jing Duan<sup>2,3</sup> • Yu Huang<sup>2,3</sup> • Ka Hei Lui<sup>1</sup> • Junji Cao<sup>2,3</sup>

Received: 31 October 2018 / Accepted: 26 August 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

#### Abstract

The chemical and cytotoxicity properties of fine particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) at indoor and outdoor environment were characterized in Xi'an, China. The mass concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  in urban areas (93.29~96.13 µg m<sup>-3</sup> for indoor and 124.37~154.52 µg m<sup>-3</sup> for outdoor) were higher than suburban (68.40 µg m<sup>-3</sup> for indoor and 96.18 µg m<sup>-3</sup> for outdoor). The  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations from outdoor environment due to fossil fuel combustion were higher than indoor environment. An indoor environment without central heating demonstrated higher organic carbon-to-elemental carbon (OC / EC) ratios and n-alkanes values that potentially attributed to residential coal combustion activities. The cell viability of human epithelial lung cells showed dose-dependent decrease, while nitric oxide (NO) and oxidative potential showed dose-dependent increase under exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$ . The variations of bioreactivities could be possibly related to different chemical components from different sources. Moderate (0.4 < R < 0.6) to strong (R > 0.6) correlations were observed between bioreactivities and elemental carbon (EC)/secondary aerosols ( $NO_3^-$ ,  $SO_4^{2^-}$ , and  $NH_4^+$ )/heavy metals (Ni, Cu, and Pb). The findings suggest  $PM_{2.5}$  is associated with particle induced oxidative potential, which are further responsible for respiratory diseases under chronic exposure.

Keywords  $PM_{2.5} \cdot Indoor and outdoor \cdot Oxidative stress \cdot Inflammation$ 

# Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) considers air pollution is one of the key determinants of health. China is suffering

Responsible editor: Philippe Garrigues

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06323-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Kin Fai Ho kfho@cuhk.edu.hk

- ⊠ Junji Cao cao@loess.llqg.ac.cn
- <sup>1</sup> The Jockey Club School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
- <sup>2</sup> Key Lab of Aerosol Chemistry & Physics, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an, China
- <sup>3</sup> State Key Lab of Loess and Quaternary Geology (SKLLQG), Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an, China
- <sup>4</sup> School of Human Settlements and Civil Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

from severe environmental degradation (e.g., frequent haze episodes, desertification) because of the country's robust economic growth (> 7.0% annual GDP growth over the past two decades) (Ma et al. 2012; Sun and Fang 2001; Zhu and Wang 1993; National Bureau of Statistics of China 2012). The Chinese national annual average of fine particulate matter (aerodynamic diameter < 2.5  $\mu$ m: PM<sub>2.5</sub>) concentration (e.g., 50  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) regularly exceed the threshold limit assigned by the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (35  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) from China (Report on the State of the Environment in China 2015). Nowadays, air pollution is responsible for approximately one in every nine deaths annually, and ambient air pollution alone can cost ~ 3 million people's life in each year (Lim et al. 2012; WHO 2016). High particulate matter concentration has demonstrated to be associated with various adverse cardiovascular and respiratory effects such as pulmonary inflammation, oxidative stress, blood coagulation, and endothelial dysfunction (Atkinson et al. 2014; Leung et al. 2014; WHO 2013; Lin et al. 2013).  $PM_{25}$  can deposit in the lung periphery and elicit adverse inflammatory responses (Bitterle et al. 2006). The production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the human body is a prime concern. ROS comprise chemically reactive oxygen radicals or oxygen-derived species such as hydroxyl radical (•OH) and hydrogen peroxide (HOOH). Oxidative stress is an important underlying mechanism by which exposure to particulate matter may lead to adverse health effects when overproduction of oxidants (e.g., ROS and free radicals) counteracts anti-oxidative defenses (Charrier et al. 2014).

Past studies targeted health effects from exposure to ambient air pollution usually focused on outdoor environment only (Broich et al. 2012; Chuang et al. 2013; Ho et al. 2016a). Jalava et al. (2009) found that incomplete combustion and resuspended road dust are important fine particulate sources that are responsible for diverse toxicity. Previous studies showed that chemical composition of particles is an important factor that associated with oxidative stress and inflammatory responses (Ho et al. 2016b; Leung et al. 2014). Nevertheless, people spend over 80% of their lifespans in indoor (Morawska et al. 2013). A thorough understanding about the effects of indoor air pollutants on indoor air quality is essential for public health. A previous study showed that exposure to indoor air pollutants can be different from outdoor (Lim et al. 2011). Higher indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were observed in activities such as cooking, cleaning, smoking, and combustionrelated emissions (Long et al. 2000; Zhang et al. 2018). There is currently a lack of knowledge about effects of indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> on bioreactivity and inflammatory cell response, and information about indoor air pollutants distribution, together with indoor-outdoor PM2 5 concentration relationship remains scarce (Perez-Padilla et al. 2010).

Xi'an is a sub-provincial city located in the center of the Guanzhong Plain in Northwestern China with a population of  $\sim$  8 million. The city is the south of Qinling Mountains and north to the Loess Plateau, which leads to poor diffusion conditions (Shen et al. 2009). Previous studies in Xi'an suggested that emissions from fossil fuel combustion (coal burning and traffic) were the dominate factors for the PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution (Cao et al. 2012b; Wang et al. 2015). The high emissions in winter and accumulation of pollutants can cause severe air pollution in Xi'an with serious health concerns. The aims of this study are to (1) investigate the chemical characteristics of  $PM_{25}$ collected from different communities and conditions (indoor and outdoor environment) in Xi'an during winter; (2) evaluate oxidative stress and inflammatory responses of the samples; and (3) characterizes the relationships between chemical properties and bioreactivity of the PM2.5.

# Materials and methods

## Sampling locations and sample collection

Three residential communities and different type of households were selected for the indoor and outdoor air quality investigation. These communities are representative for the major areas in the city. The sampling session was conducted from 26 January to 15 March 2016 with municipal/individual heating in full operation for most households (> 80%), and the sampling duration was lasted for 1 week in each community. The samples were collected from three different locations namely as (1) Qujiang village (QJ), the site is located in the southeast of Xi'an city and surrounded by densely populated residential buildings without any factories nearby, which is considered as an urban residential area; (2) Xiangyang community (XY), the site is located in the east of Xi'an and considered as a suburban area with relatively less population and commercial activities; (3) Hui street (H), the site is located in the center of inner city and considered as a tourist and residential area with dense population. The sampling locations are shown in Fig. 1 and the details of each sampling household can be referred to Table 1.

Around 4-5 households were selected in each community to conduct the investigation simultaneously. Indoor air sampling was performed in living room with all instruments positioned at  $\sim 1.5$  m above the floor. Outdoor air sampling was conducted at the open balcony unless stated otherwise and all instruments were positioned at 1-1.5 m above the floor. A mini-volume air sampler (Airmetrics, Eugene, OR, USA) was used for PM<sub>2.5</sub> collection at a flowrate of 5 L min<sup>-1</sup>. The pre-fired (900 °C, 3 h) quartz filters (47 mm) (QM-A, Whatman Inc., Clifton, NJ, USA) and Teflon filters (47 mm) (Pall Life Sciences, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) used in the sampling sessions were collected for chemical and bioreactivity analysis, respectively. Filters were weighed before and after sampling by an electronic microbalance (Sartorius MC5, Göttingen, Germany) ( $\pm 1 \mu g$  sensitivity) after 24 h in equilibrium (20-23 °C, 35-45% relative humidity (RH)). Each filter was weighted in triplicate before and after each sampling procedure, and the differences should be less than 15 mg for blank and 20 mg for exposed filters. The temperature (T) of indoor and outdoor environment, together with relative humidity (RH) of each sampling location, was monitored by online gaseous pollutants instruments with electrochemical sensors (Alphasense B4) in continuous manner.

## **Chemical analysis**

Four anions (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, and Cl<sup>-</sup>) and five cations (Na<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>) were determined in the aqueous extract collected from filter extraction and analyzed by ion chromatography (IC, Dionex 500, Dionex Corp, Sunnyvale, CA). Further information can be referred to Zhang et al. (2011). The concentrations of elements in aerosol samples were determined by Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) spectrometry using an X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analyzer (PANalytical Epsilon 5, Almelo, The Netherlands). Further information about the procedure can be referred to Cao et al. (2012a). Organic carbon



Fig. 1 Location of the sampling areas

(OC) and elemental carbon (EC) were analyzed by using the IMPROVE\_A thermal optical reflectance (TOR) method (Cao et al. 2007) in a carbon analyzer (DRI model 2001; Atmoslytic, Inc., Calabasas, CA). The total carbon (TC) concentration was calculated as the sum of OC and EC.

The concentrations of 24 n-alkanes were analyzed in all samples. Dichloromethane and methanol (2:1, v/v) solution was used for filter extraction and the solution was dried and purified by Pasteur pipette anhydrous filled with sodium sulfate (NaSO<sub>4</sub>) and glass wool. The extract was concentrated for two times (with toluene solvent added in the second extraction) by a rotary evaporator under vacuum to approximately 0.5 ml, and the remaining solution was spiked with 25 µl of 20  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> Fluoranthene-D<sub>10</sub> which served as an internal standard. A gas chromatography (Agilent GC-7890A) coupled with mass spectrometry (Agilent GC/MS 5975C) was used for the sample analysis. The analyzed compounds were separated in a fused silica capillary column (DB-5MS; 5% phenyl-95% methyl-polysiloxane, 30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.5 µm film thickness). The above procedure was repeated for all samples.

## Extraction of PM<sub>2.5</sub> for bioreactivity investigation

The combined Teflon filters from each sampling site were extracted with high-purity methanol (5 ml) and the extractant was ultrasonicated in water bath (30 min). This step was repeated in triplicate and the extractants were combined to produce a final extractant after the extraction. Nitrogen gas ( $N_2 >$ 

99.995%) was purged through the solution for ~2 h in order to completely remove the organic solvent. The extracted particles were re-dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (< 0.01%) in different concentrations (0 (control), 100, and 200  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>).

# **Cell culture**

The human alveolar epithelial cells (A549) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA) and cultured in cell culture medium (F-12; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., MA, USA) with fetal bovine serum (10%), penicillin (100 U ml<sup>-1</sup>), and streptomycin (100 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) under the specific conditions (37 °C, 95% humidity and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). The cells were then incubated with the sample (50 µl) at different particle concentrations of (0 (control), 100, and 200 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) for 24 h.

#### Cell viability and intracellular ROS

The cell metabolic activities were identified by MTT (3-[4, 5dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2, 5 diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay. A549 cells with particles (50  $\mu$ l) in different concentrations were seeded on 96-well transwells and filled up to a final volume of 200  $\mu$ l with F-12 medium. A 100  $\mu$ l of MTT solution (10%; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added for color development at 37 °C for 4 h, and the optical density was measured by a microplate reader (ELx800, BioTek, VT, USA) at 540 nm wavelength.

Table	1 Description	s of sampling	locations							
Site	Age of house	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Storage time after decoration	Material used for the floor	Wallpaper	Fuel for cooking	Fuel for heating	Ventilation	Cooking frequency	Smoking
QJ-1	5	100	6–12 months	Composite wood	Yes	Natural gas	Natural gas	Half open < 1 h	3 times/day	No
QJ-2	5	100	6–12 months	Composite wood	Yes	Natural gas	Natural gas	Half open > 1 h	> 3 times/day	No
QJ-3	5	100	6–12 months	Tile	No	Liquefied petroleum gas	Natural gas	Half open > 1 h	> 3 times/day	No
QJ-4	5	102	3–6 months	Wood	No	Natural gas	Natural gas	Half open < 1 h	1 time/day	No
						Electricity				
QJ-5	5	148	3–6 months	Composite wood	No	Natural gas	Natural gas	Wide open < 1 h	3 times/day	No
XY-1	25	59	> 12 months	Composite wood	No	Natural gas	Coal (central heating)	Half open > 1 h	1 time/day	Yes
XY-2	6	136	> 12 months	Composite wood	Yes	Natural gas	Coal (central heating)	Wide open $> 1$ h	2 times/day	Yes
XY-3	7	127	6–12 months	Wood	Yes	Natural gas	Natural gas	Half open > 1 h	3 times/day	Yes
XY-4	16	90	> 12 months	Wood	No	Natural gas	Coal (central heating)	Half open > 1 h	3 times/day	No
XY-5	15	49	< 3 months	Composite wood	No	Natural gas	Coal (central heating)	Half open > 1 h	> 3 times/day	No
						Electricity				
H-1	23	60	3–6 months	Tile	No	Liquefied petroleum gas	Electric radiator/Coal	Half open > 1 h	2 times/day	Yes
H-2	12	147	3–6 months	Tile	No	Electricity	Air-condition	Half open < 1 h	3 times/day	No
H-3	20	72	> 12 months	Cement	Yes	Coal	Coal	Wide open > 1 h	2 times/day	Yes
						Electricity				
H-4	40	40	< 3 months	Cement	No	None	Electric radiator	Half open < 1 h	None	Yes

ROS production was determined by fluorogenic cell-based method using 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) as a probe. The highly fluorescent compound (2',7'-dichlorofluorescin; DCF) was produced by oxidation of DCFH with ROS and the dominant cellular ROS was detected by the probe (Daher et al. 2014). After exposure, the fluorescence intensity was determined at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 530 nm by a Light Luminescence Plate Reader (VICTOR<sup>™</sup> X; PerkinElmer, Waltham, USA). The cellular oxidative stress was represented by fluorescence intensity (FI). Four parallel wells for each sample were examined for cell viability and DCFH assay.

# **Determination of cytokines**

The collected supernatant was used to determine cytokines levels. Nitric oxide (NO) production was determined by Nitric Oxide Synthase Assay Kit (Calbiochem, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate.

# **Statistical analysis**

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni method as post hoc test was applied to examine the variation of bioreactivities along with the blank samples as control. Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis was used to examine the correlations between chemical components and bioreactivity. All the data were analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics 22.0 (IBM  $\circledast$ , New York, NY). The value of  $p < \infty$ 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

# **Results and discussion**

# Chemical concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub>

Mass concentrations of PM2.5 and chemical compositions obtained from indoor/outdoor environment are shown in Table 2. The daily variations of T and RH at different sampling sites are shown in Figure S1. The temperatures in location XY and H were higher than QJ due to different sampling period. In these communities, the outdoor PM2.5 concentration was highest in location QJ and followed by H. The  $\ensuremath{\text{PM}_{2.5}}$  concentration in location XY was lowest and  $\sim 37.7\%$  lower than QJ. The sampling location in QJ is termed "village-in-cities" (villages become engulfed in urban centers as the population and geographic parameters of cities grow) and without central heating. This situation demonstrated that high consumption of coal and liquefied petroleum gas use for heating and cooking purpose in individual homes could further lead to higher PM2.5 emissions. The sampling location H is considered as a tourist area with high population density, large number of restaurants, and

#### Table 2 Chemical compositions of the samples in indoor and outdoor environment

Chemical species	QJ-in	QJ-out	XY-in	XY-out	H-in	H-out
Inorganic composition	$s (\mu g m^{-3})$					
PM <sub>25</sub>	$93.29 \pm 57.27$	$154.52 \pm 75.97$	$68.40 \pm 25.64$	$96.18 \pm 24.49$	$96.13 \pm 50.43$	$124.37 \pm 54.92$
0C	$32.07 \pm 11.04$	$40.79 \pm 15.33$	$17.71 \pm 6.83$	$15.57 \pm 3.97$	$20.10 \pm 8.81$	$22.45 \pm 7.16$
EC	$7.67 \pm 3.45$	$10.49 \pm 4.66$	$4.19 \pm 1.67$	$4.92 \pm 1.56$	$5.16 \pm 2.79$	$6.33 \pm 2.61$
Total carbon	$39.7 \pm 14.3$	$51.3 \pm 19.9$	$21.9 \pm 8.3$	$20.5 \pm 5.4$	$25.3 \pm 11.1$	$28.8 \pm 9.7$
SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	$2.89 \pm 1.7$	$4.64 \pm 2.79$	$1.83 \pm 0.61$	$2.64 \pm 0.67$	$2.79 \pm 1.6$	$3.64 \pm 1.84$
NO <sub>2</sub>	$3.62 \pm 2.46$	$7.73 \pm 4.71$	$1.94 \pm 1.43$	$3.13 \pm 2.17$	$4.36 \pm 3.21$	$6.24 \pm 4.04$
NH4 <sup>+</sup>	$1.20 \pm 1.08$	$2.92 \pm 2.09$	$0.30 \pm 0.41$	$0.55 \pm 0.67$	$1.44 \pm 1.28$	$2.16 \pm 1.59$
K <sup>+</sup>	$0.20 \pm 0.13$	$0.34 \pm 0.19$	$0.12 \pm 0.12$	$0.11 \pm 0.07$	$0.14 \pm 0.08$	$0.17 \pm 0.1$
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	$0.15 \pm 0.04$	$0.17 \pm 0.03$	$0.18 \pm 0.06$	$0.21 \pm 0.02$	$0.13 \pm 0.03$	$0.15 \pm 0.03$
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	$0.39 \pm 0.15$	$0.57 \pm 0.31$	$0.70 \pm 0.33$	$0.95 \pm 0.25$	$0.24 \pm 0.25$	$0.31 \pm 0.15$
CL	$0.69 \pm 0.31$	$1.54 \pm 0.81$	$0.51 \pm 0.17$	$0.70 \pm 0.24$	$0.73 \pm 0.44$	$0.92 \pm 0.49$
Na <sup>+</sup>	$1.47 \pm 0.39$	$1.40 \pm 0.2$	$1.25 \pm 0.26$	$1.43 \pm 0.13$	$0.71 \pm 0.25$	$0.78 \pm 0.17$
$NO_2^-$	$0.11 \pm 0.04$	$0.10 \pm 0.03$	$0.12 \pm 0.05$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.03$	$0.10 \pm 0.01$
Total ions	$10.63 \pm 5.68$	$19.35 \pm 10.56$	$6.90 \pm 2.88$	$9.70 \pm 3.93$	$10.49 \pm 6.57$	$14.31 \pm 8.09$
Ti	$0.018 \pm 0.004$	$0.025 \pm 0.007$	$0.034 \pm 0.014$	$0.051 \pm 0.019$	$0.023 \pm 0.007$	$0.030 \pm 0.007$
Mn	$0.018 \pm 0.005$	$0.023 \pm 0.005$	$0.021 \pm 0.006$	$0.033 \pm 0.009$	$0.018 \pm 0.008$	$0.025 \pm 0.008$
Fe	$0.224 \pm 0.046$	$0.347 \pm 0.101$	$0.427 \pm 0.159$	$0.672 \pm 0.193$	$0.283 \pm 0.089$	$0.417 \pm 0.07$
Ni	$0.004 \pm 0.002$	$0.012 \pm 0.02$	$0.004 \pm 0.002$	$0.004 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.002$	$0.004 \pm 0.002$
Cu	$0.021 \pm 0.004$	$0.061 \pm 0.093$	$0.022 \pm 0.007$	$0.022 \pm 0.003$	$0.02 \pm 0.005$	$0.023 \pm 0.005$
Zn	$0.077 \pm 0.028$	$0.125 \pm 0.043$	$0.083 \pm 0.043$	$0.100 \pm 0.058$	$0.110 \pm 0.077$	$0.128 \pm 0.078$
Sb	$0.048 \pm 0.012$	$0.046 \pm 0.013$	$0.048 \pm 0.014$	$0.053 \pm 0.013$	$0.049 \pm 0.016$	$0.051 \pm 0.018$
Ba	$0.185 \pm 0.037$	$0.195 \pm 0.029$	$0.197 \pm 0.046$	$0.189 \pm 0.017$	$0.192 \pm 0.033$	$0.204 \pm 0.049$
Pb	$0.053 \pm 0.021$	$0.065 \pm 0.028$	$0.045 \pm 0.016$	$0.051 \pm 0.014$	$0.056 \pm 0.023$	$0.067 \pm 0.020$
Total elements	$0.644 \pm 0.118$	$0.895 \pm 0.160$	$0.876 \pm 0.212$	$1.171 \pm 0.221$	$0.749 \pm 0.193$	$0.994 \pm 0.163$
Alkanes (ng $m^{-3}$ )						
C17	$2.48 \pm 0.48$	$1.84 \pm 0.93$	$3.02 \pm 0.86$	$3.54 \pm 1.45$	$3.27 \pm 0.24$	$3.61 \pm 1.59$
C18	$2.31 \pm 1.53$	$1.8 \pm 1.03$	$5.6 \pm 1.88$	$3.77 \pm 1.36$	$4.18 \pm 0.46$	$3.94 \pm 1.82$
C19	$3.66 \pm 2.07$	$2.75 \pm 1.56$	$3.23 \pm 1.24$	$1.97 \pm 0.56$	$2.3 \pm 0.59$	$5.54 \pm 2.56$
C20	$2.95 \pm 1.06$	$3.68 \pm 1.42$	$3.04 \pm 0.65$	$3.45 \pm 1.74$	$3.65 \pm 1.11$	$4.28 \pm 2.07$
C21	$8.32 \pm 1.35$	$18.01 \pm 5.36$	$9.96 \pm 2.1$	$25.88 \pm 9.21$	$13.86 \pm 3.21$	$24.94 \pm 10.71$
C22	$15.04 \pm 5.19$	$31.97 \pm 7.94$	$15.06 \pm 3.73$	$41.43 \pm 10.96$	$20.44 \pm 6.34$	$33.09 \pm 14.63$
C23	$22.81 \pm 7.14$	$34.3 \pm 9.41$	$20.84\pm6.05$	$73.1 \pm 24.34$	$41.46 \pm 12.2$	$66.43 \pm 25.14$
C24	$67.96 \pm 34.37$	$104.0 \pm 35.33$	$55.96 \pm 16.55$	$161.23 \pm 52.31$	$92.66 \pm 20.03$	$122.21 \pm 49.32$
C25	$40.88 \pm 18.33$	$80.93 \pm 20.54$	$38.26 \pm 9.28$	$95.61 \pm 28.45$	$69.05 \pm 17.19$	$93.81 \pm 35.86$
C26	$22.31 \pm 9.75$	$39.42 \pm 8.24$	$16.01 \pm 4.51$	$45.96 \pm 18.42$	$28.08 \pm 12.42$	$38.73 \pm 14.27$
C27	$17.53 \pm 5.17$	$32.15 \pm 6.16$	$18.83 \pm 3.79$	$47.84 \pm 15.32$	$31.52 \pm 19.4$	$35.77 \pm 16.7$
C28	$8.76 \pm 2.75$	$15.96 \pm 2.71$	$10.49 \pm 3.49$	$35.92 \pm 19.57$	$16.99 \pm 6.17$	$21.44 \pm 8.25$
C29	$13.92 \pm 5.53$	$20.03\pm4.65$	$14.93\pm3.83$	$33.54 \pm 17.21$	$21.09 \pm 11.56$	$24.8 \pm 9.14$
C30	$4.9 \pm 1.5$	$2.82\pm0.78$	$5.11 \pm 1.21$	$15.58 \pm 4.28$	$7.52 \pm 3.69$	$7.36 \pm 3.17$
C31	$7.24 \pm 3.23$	$3.62 \pm 1.87$	$8.44 \pm 1.62$	$20.24 \pm 8.51$	$17.58 \pm 14.49$	$12.79 \pm 5.48$
C32	$2.22 \pm 0.96$	$1.75 \pm 0.59$	$2.93\pm0.47$	$5.26 \pm 2.06$	$4.26 \pm 2.13$	$4.91 \pm 1.27$
C33	$1.85\pm0.65$	$1.37\pm0.73$	$3.2 \pm 0.68$	$4.47 \pm 1.67$	$4.69 \pm 3.05$	$3.49 \pm 1.06$
C34	$1.79 \pm 1.14$	$0.52\pm0.27$	$1.25 \pm 0.74$	$4.21 \pm 1.34$	$1.82\pm0.66$	$1.36\pm0.61$
C35	$0.89\pm0.44$	$0.33 \pm 0.16$	$0.8\pm0.47$	$3.77 \pm 1.68$	$0.96\pm0.37$	$1.44\pm0.84$
C36	$0.46\pm0.07$	$0.18\pm0.06$	$0.55\pm0.32$	$2.02\pm0.46$	$0.46\pm0.18$	$0.73\pm0.37$
C37	$0.92\pm0.84$	$0.26\pm0.09$	$0.42\pm0.25$	$1.52 \pm 0.82$	$0.59\pm0.12$	$0.67\pm0.39$
C38	$0.54\pm0.31$	$0.2\pm0.06$	$0.27\pm0.13$	$1.76\pm0.71$	$0.48\pm0.26$	$0.46\pm0.19$
C39	$0.27\pm0.16$	$0.22\pm0.11$	$0.29\pm0.17$	$0.62\pm0.42$	$0.36\pm0.06$	$0.17\pm0.05$
C40	$0.27\pm0.24$	$0.23\pm0.01$	$0.17\pm0.08$	$0.67\pm0.26$	$0.12\pm0.07$	$0.22\pm0.05$
∑Alkanes	$250.14\pm94.98$	$398.20\pm82.65$	$238.53\pm49.55$	$633.22 \pm 142.62$	$387.27 \pm 132.15$	$512.07 \pm 184.12$

-in stand for indoor environment; -out stand for outdoor environment

The concentrations of metals were kept 3 decimals due to the low values

high traffic flow, together with self-built houses occupied by the residents. Due to the higher temperature in location H, the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were slightly lower than location QJ with less heating activities. Heating, cooking, and vehicles were the major sources for location H. The sampling location XY is in suburban area of the city with central heating. Less anthropogenic  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions were noticed when comparing to other sampling locations. Infiltration from outdoor to indoor air could be a possible factor affecting the indoor air quality.

The carbonaceous fractions showed similar trends to the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations. The OC and EC concentration were highest at location QJ in indoor and outdoor environment (Table 2), which could be attributed to coal combustion emissions (Cao et al. 2004). The outdoor concentrations were

higher than indoor environment except for the OC fraction in sampling location XY. This could be due to additional indoor combustion sources (i.e., smoking). The organic carbon-toelemental carbon (OC/ EC) ratio is an indicator to analyze emission sources, secondary organic carbon (SOC) formations, and transformation/deposition process (Cao et al. 2005). The average OC/EC values were in a range of 3.2-6.0 (Supplementary Materials: Table S1) and the values indicate that the emissions from carbonaceous fraction in communities were possibly attributed to a combination of coal combustion, vehicle exhaust, and biomass burning in origin. The OC/EC ratio in location XY at outdoor environment was lower than the other sampling locations. This could be possibly due to higher contribution of vehicle emissions, and the high OC/ EC ratio in location H in indoor environment was potentially attributed to higher contribution from residential coal combustion (Watson et al. 2001). The indoor SOC accounted for 30.4-31.6% of the OC, whereas in outdoor environments, SOC only contributed in a range of 9.8-15.2% to the OC (Supplementary Materials: Table S1). This could be due to higher temperature and relatively more enclosed indoor environment that favored SOC formation.

The concentrations of total ions in location QJ and H were higher than XY, and the concentration in outdoor was higher than indoor environment at all locations.  $NO_3^-$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}$ , and NH4<sup>+</sup> were the most abundant ions and the contribution of  $NO_{3}^{-}$ ,  $SO_{4}^{2-}$ , and  $NH_{4}^{+}$  were in a range of 25.8–41.3%, 24.5-28.7%, and 3.3-13.6%, respectively. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ions in location H and QJ at outdoor environment showed higher contributions and these observations could be potentially attributed to higher coal combustion and vehicle emissions, and the high contribution of  $NO_3^{-}$  (~ 39%) at location H in indoor could be related to the use of honeycomb briquette for heating purpose (Shen et al. 2008). The total concentration of elements was highest in location XY at outdoor environment (1.17  $\pm$  $0.22 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ) with possible high contribution from mineral dust. Location H with more anthropogenic activities showed the second highest total concentration of elements in outdoor environment (0.99  $\pm$  0.16 µg m<sup>-3</sup>), and the corresponding indoor environment was also in relatively high level. Fe and Ba were the two dominant elements accounting for 34.7-57.4% and 16.1–28.7% of the total elements, respectively.

The total concentrations of n-alkanes in outdoor environment were ~ 1.3–2.7 times higher than indoor. Location XY at outdoor environment showed highest concentration (633.22 ± 142.62 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) compared with the other locations. In indoor environment, the total concentration of n-alkanes in location H (387.27 ± 13.15 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) was higher than communities with central heating, indicating possible influences from coal burning for residential heating purpose (Bi et al. 2003). C24 showed highest concentrations (55.96~161.23 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) in all n-alkanes components followed by C25 (38.26~95.61 ng m<sup>-3</sup>). Low molecular weight (LMW) components (< C27)

were the main composition in n-alkanes and fossil fuel combustion could be attributed to the main sources in indoor and outdoor environment. The concentrations of high molecular weight (HMW) components (> C27) were higher than other locations at location XY in outdoor environment. This could be due to more biogenic emissions in suburban area. The ratio of HMW/LMW and carbon preference index (CPI, the relative quantities of odd/even carbon number n-alkanes) (Supplementary Material: Table S2) were commonly used to identify the potential sources of n-alkanes. The HMW/LMW and CPI values were all close to 1.0, suggesting possible dominant anthropogenic emissions (Hong et al. 2017).

#### Relationship between indoor and outdoor air quality

The indoor-to-outdoor (I/O) ratios for the chemical components shown in Fig. 2 were calculated to evaluate the relationships between indoor and outdoor pollutions. All I/O ratios were < 1.0 with large variations due to different emission sources and indoor conditions. The I/O ratios were in a range of 0.60–0.77, suggesting possible infiltration from outdoor to indoor environment and relatively less emissions from indoor activities (e.g., heating, cooking, and cleaning).

The I/O ratios of total carbon ranged from 0.77 to 1.07 and the higher ratio was contributed from the OC (Supplementary Materials: Figure S1). Indoor cooking and heating activities could potentially contribute to the OC emissions, and the present of EC was possibly due to infiltration to indoor air (lower I/O ratio). The I/O ratios of total ions ranged from 0.55 to 0.73 and the influences of indoor emissions were relatively low in QJ. In Figure S2 (Supplementary Materials), the I/O ratios of  $NO_2^-$  were  $\geq 1.0$ , this observation could be due to favorable indoor conditions and beneficial to the instable constituents. Ions such as SO4<sup>2-</sup>, NO3<sup>-</sup>, and NH4<sup>+</sup> all showed lower I/O ratios compared with the others, indicating possible further contributions from coal combustion and vehicle emissions in outdoor environments (secondary formation reactions). The I/O ratios of crustal origin elements (Ti and Fe) ranged from 0.64 to 0.68, which were lower than the values observed in anthropogenic elements (0.77-0.93). The crustal elements were mainly contributed from outdoor dust, whereas other elements could be emitted from human activities in indoor environment and location XY and H were substantially influenced by indoor effects (Lui et al. 2017). The I/O ratios of nalkanes were in a range of 0.38-0.76, indicating outdoor environment was more influenced by fossil fuel emissions in winter and the n-alkanes in indoor environment were transported from outdoor environment in origin. Various I/O ratios of individual n-alkane (Supplementary Materials: Figure S3) were attributed to different emission sources. The LMW components were mainly originated from fossil fuels combustion and higher concentrations were observed in outdoor environment, whereas several HMW components (e.g.,

Fig. 2 Indoor/outdoor ratios of mass and chemical components in the sampling areas



C18, C30, C31, C33, C34) showed higher levels in indoor environment at location QJ and H. This observation could be due to emissions from plants or cooking/heating activities in families. The aforementioned I/O values were all comparable to a previous study in Rome (Italy) (Albinet et al. 2007).

## Bioreactivities of cells exposed to particles

The bioreactivities of A549 cells exposed to PM2.5 are shown in Fig. 3. The cell viability of A549 exhibited dose-dependent decrease in all conditions. Lower cell viability (~ 45% at 200  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure) was observed at location OJ and H in outdoor environment. The results of cell viability in outdoor environment were lower than indoor, indicating possible variations on chemical compositions from different emission sources and sampling conditions could lead to different toxicity to human cells. The NO and oxidative potential demonstrated dose-dependent increase with PM2.5 concentrations. And the PM2.5 could possibly cause cytotoxicity in human alveolar epithelial cells. The NO and oxidative potential levels in outdoor environment were all higher than indoor, indicating potentially higher oxidative and inflammatory reactions. The level of oxidative potential is in descending order of H > QJ > XY at indoor environment. For outdoor environment, the oxidative potential is in descending order of QJ > H > XY. In location XY, the  $PM_{2.5}$  in indoor environment released highest NO concentration and the production of NO could further induce inflammation. All bioreactivities showed significant differences with the control groups, whereas only trends were observed for different sampling sites.

Various extracellular sources could induce oxidative stress and disrupt the balance between ROS production and antioxidant defenses in biological environment (Deng et al. 2013). ROS could be generated from different environmental sources, such as anthropogenic combustion-derived sources (Chuang et al. 2011). EC and metals were identified as important

parameters in ROS formation. The higher surface area of soot and transition metals could induce ROS formation under Fenton-like reactions/inhibition of anti-oxidative processes (Dilger et al. 2016; Limbach et al. 2007). The location QJ in outdoor environment was influenced by strong coal combustion emissions and the higher EC concentrations comparing to the other sampling sites could lead to high oxidative potential. Location H in indoor environment was influenced by selfheating activities, high levels of metals from combustion emissions, and poor ventilation. All of these could contribute to the overall oxidative stress. NO was identified to play important roles in mediating inflammation and cvtotoxicity of tissue by generating peroxynitrite anion via superoxide radicals reactions (Nam et al. 2004). NO can also protect cells against oxidative damage from hydroxyl radicals by converting them to less toxic compounds (Chuang et al. 2012). Previous studies showed that NO was released in human alveolar epithelial cells through catalytic activities with isoform of NO synthase (iNOS) and the expression of iNOS was induced by various cytokines (IL-1β, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IFN- $\gamma$ ) and endotoxin (lipopolysaccharide) (Alvarez and Evelson 2007; Kwon and George 1999). The high expressions of NO in location XY at indoor environment (51.2 mmol ml<sup>-1</sup>) indicate higher potential of inflammatory cascade in the sampling location, and possibly due to immunocompetent cells production to the recruitment of leukocytes (Kwon et al. 2001). The overproduction of NO is considered as cytotoxic (Diociaiuti et al. 2001). At location QJ and H in outdoor environment showed high levels of NO, suggesting combustion activities could possibly induce higher cellular toxicity, although further investigation will be required in future analysis.

# Correlation between chemical compounds and bioreactivity

To identify the concentrations of chemical compounds per unit volume  $(m^3)$  of  $PM_{2.5}$  and the association with



Fig. 3 The bioreactivities of A549 cells in exposure to different conditions: a cell viability; b nitric oxide; c oxidative potential (\*p < 0.05 compared with control)

bioreactivity, Pearson's correlation coefficients (R) were calculated between ROS-inflammatory activity and the normalized PM compounds ( $\mu g m l^{-1}$ ) as shown in Table 3. Only those chemical components that demonstrated moderate (0.4 < R < 0.6) to strong correlations (R > 0.6) with ROSinflammatory responses are presented in this study. EC and secondary inorganic aerosols (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) were negatively correlated with NO production (|R| >0.50). Strong correlations were identified between ions  $(Cl^{-} \text{ and } K^{+})$  and cell viability (R = -0.69, R = -0.63)/oxidative potential (R = 0.70, R = 0.65), these two ions were mainly emitted from fossil fuel combustion. Moderate positive correlation was identified between Ni and oxidative potential (R = 0.54). Moderate positive correlations were also identified between Cu and Pb against cell viability (R = -0.53, R = -0.58)/oxidative potential (R = 0.58, R = -0.63). No significant correlations were identified between alkanes and bioreactivity. It was proved that NO can protect the cells by inhibition of NOS reactions or trigger toxic peroxynitrite anion via reaction with superoxide radicals. Both negative and positive correlations with the chemical species could be identified for NO. In our study, the negative correlations between NO and carbon/ions may indicate the inhibition effect of PM2.5 to NO expression in low concentrations. Different physical and chemical composition of PM<sub>2.5</sub> could lead to different oxidative stress and inflammatory reactions in human lung and cardiovascular system (Kroll et al. 2013). Hence, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> collected from different sampling locations could lead to different cytotoxicity and bioreactivity. A past study showed that high OC and EC concentration could be associated with proinflammatory activity, and the inflammatory response was driven by the transition metals and organic compounds that coated on carbon surface (Dilger et al. 2016). Associations between sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium against oxidativeinflammatory responses and cardiovascular reaction were all identified in previous studies (Ho et al. 2016b; Chuang et al. 2007). Several heavy metals (Pb, Fe, and Ni, and Cu) were used as toxicity indicator (Chuang et al. 2012; Shen and Anastasio 2012). A past study showed that ROS generation was linked to combustion emission (Verma et al. 2009). Therefore, the outdoor environment in winter and specific indoor environment with coal combustion demonstrated higher bioreactivity potential.

 Table 3
 Correlations coefficients of chemical compositions and bioreactivity tests

	Cell viability	Nitride oxide	Oxidative potential
OC	- 0.30	- 0.46	0.50
EC	- 0.33	$-0.53^{*}$	0.43
$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	- 0.34	$-0.64^{*}$	0.41
$NO_3^-$	-0.30	$-0.63^{*}$	0.42
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	- 0.35	$-0.62^{*}$	0.49
$K^+$	$-0.63^{*}$	-0.08	0.65**
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	0.37	0.16	- 0.38
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.41	0.23	- 0.35
$Cl^-$	$-0.69^{**}$	-0.04	$0.70^{**}$
Na <sup>+</sup>	0.21	- 0.16	- 0.26
Ti	0.30	0.18	- 0.43
Mn	0.04	- 0.05	- 0.35
Fe	0.16	0.29	- 0.35
Ni	-0.48	0.09	$0.54^{*}$
Cu	$-0.53^{*}$	0.17	$0.58^{*}$
Zn	- 0.41	0.13	0.44
Sb	- 0.12	0.27	-0.04
Ва	0.35	0.11	-0.02
Pb	$-0.58^{*}$	- 0.19	0.63*

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

# Conclusions

The characteristics of PM2.5 at indoor/outdoor environment from different locations in Xi'an city was investigated in this study. Higher concentrations of PM2.5 were observed in outdoor environments compared to indoor and this observation was possibly attributed to fossil fuel combustions. Lower PM2.5 concentrations were observed for the rural compared to urban areas in all conditions (indoor and outdoor environment). The collected PM<sub>2.5</sub> was shown to induce oxidative stress and inflammatory response. The trends of bioreactivities were potentially due to different PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions at indoor/outdoor environment, in addition to the variations of chemical components at different locations. In this study, the extraction solvent for ions and alkanes were different from PM<sub>2.5</sub> extraction in the cell experiments. This limitation can be an important contributing factor to the overall correlation analysis results. Further studies will be necessary especially for the associations between organic components and cytotoxicity. The results suggest the need to minimize indoor pollutants emissions from various sources (e.g., central heating and coal burning) in a hope to curb any related respiratory diseases.

**Funding information** This research was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC21661132005). This study was also supported under the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region China (Project No. CUHK/14212116).

#### References

- Albinet A, Leoz-Garziandia E, Budzinski H, Villenave E (2007) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), nitrated PAHs and oxygenated PAHs in ambient air of the Marseilles area (South of France): concentrations and sources. Sci Total Environ 384:280–292
- Alvarez S, Evelson PA (2007) Nitric oxide and oxygen metabolism in inflammatory conditions: sepsis and exposition to polluted ambients. Front Biosci 12:964–974
- Atkinson RW, Kang S, Anderson HR, Mills IC, Walton HA (2014) Epidemiological time series studies of PM2.5 and daily mortality and hospital admissions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Thorax 69:660–665
- Bi X, Sheng G, Peng P a, Chen Y, Zhang Z, Fu J (2003) Distribution of particulate-and vapor-phase n-alkanes and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban atmosphere of Guangzhou, China. Atmos Environ 37(2):289–298
- Bitterle E, Karg E, Schroeppel A, Kreyling W, Tippe A, Ferron G, Schmid O, Heyder J, Maier K, Hofer T (2006) Dose-controlled exposure of A549 epithelial cells at the air–liquid interface to airborne ultrafine carbonaceous particles. Chemosphere 65:1784–1790
- Broich AV, Gerharz LE, Klemm O (2012) Personal monitoring of exposure to particulate matter with a high temporal resolution. Environ Sci Pollut Res 19:2959–2972
- Cao JJ, Lee SC, Ho KF, Zou SC, Fung K, Li Y, Watson JG, Chow JC (2004) Spatial and seasonal variations of atmospheric organic carbon and elemental carbon in Pearl River Delta Region, China. Atmos Environ 38(27):4447–4456
- Cao JJ, Wu F, Chow JC, Lee SC, Li Y, Chen SW, An ZS, Fung KK, Watson JG, Zhu CS, Liu SX (2005) Characterization and source apportionment of atmospheric organic and elemental carbon during fall and winter of 2003 in Xi'an, China. Atmos Chem Phys 5:3127– 3137
- Cao JJ, Lee SC, Chow JC, Watson JG, Ho KF, Zhang RJ, Jin ZD, Shen ZX, Chen GC, Kang YM, Zou SC, Zhang LZ, Qi SH, Dai MH, Cheng Y, Hu K (2007) Spatial and seasonal distributions of carbonaceous aerosols over China. J Geophys Res-Atmos 112:D22
- Cao JJ, Shen ZX, Chow JC, Watson JG, Lee SC, Tie XX, Ho KF, Wang GH, Han YM (2012a) Winter and summer PM2.5 chemical compositions in fourteen Chinese cities. J Air Waste Manage Assoc 62: 1214–1226
- Cao JJ, Wang QY, Chow JC, Watson JG, Tie XX, Shen ZX, Wang P, An ZS (2012b) Impacts of aerosol compositions on visibility impairment in Xi'an, China. Atmos Environ 59:559–566
- Charrier JG, McFall AS, Richards-Henderson NK, Anastasio C (2014) Hydrogen peroxide formation in a surrogate lung fluid by transition metals and quinones present in particulate matter. Environ Sci Technol 48:7010–7017
- Chuang KJ, Chan CC, Su TC, Lee CT, Tang CS (2007) The effect of urban air pollution on inflammation, oxidative stress, coagulation, and autonomic dysfunction in young adults. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 176:370–376
- Chuang HC, Jones TP, Lung SCC, BeruBe KA (2011) Soot-driven reactive oxygen species formation from incense burning. Sci Total Environ 409:4781–4787
- Chuang HC, Fan CW, Chen KY, Chang-Chien GP, Chan CC (2012) Vasoactive alteration and inflammation induced by polycyclic

aromatic hydrocarbons and trace metals of vehicle exhaust particles. Toxicol Lett 214:131–136

- Chuang HC, Jones T, Chen TT, BeruBe K (2013) Cytotoxic effects of incense particles in relation to oxidative stress, the cell cycle and F-actin assembly. Toxicol Lett 220:229–237
- Daher N, Saliba NA, Shihadeh AL, Jaafar M, Baalbaki R, Shafer MM, Schauer JJ, Sioutas C (2014) Oxidative potential and chemical speciation of size-resolved particulate matter (PM) at near-freeway and urban background sites in the greater Beirut area. Sci Total Environ 470:417–426
- Deng XB, Zhang F, Rui W, Long F, Wang LJ, Feng ZH, Chen DL, Ding WJ (2013) PM2.5-induced oxidative stress triggers autophagy in human lung epithelial A549 cells. Toxicol in Vitro 27:1762–1770
- Dilger M, Orasche J, Zimmermann R, Paur HR, Diabate S, Weiss C (2016) Toxicity of wood smoke particles in human A549 lung epithelial cells: the role of PAHs, soot and zinc. Arch Toxicol 90:3029–3044
- Diociaiuti M, Balduzzi M, De Berardis B, Cattani C, Stacchini G, Ziemacki G, Marconi A, Paoletti L (2001) The two PM2.5 (fine) and PM2.5–10 (coarse) fractions: evidence of different biological activity. Environ Res 86(3):254–262
- Ho K-F, Chang C-C, Tian L, Chan C-S, Musa Bandowe BA, Lui K-H, Lee K-Y, Chuang K-J, Liu C-Y, Ning Z, Chuang H-C (2016a) Effects of polycyclic aromatic compounds in fine particulate matter generated from household coal combustion on response to EGFR mutations in vitro. Environ Pollut 218:1262–1269
- Ho K-F, Ho SSH, Huang R-J, Chuang H-C, Cao J-J, Han Y, Lui K-H, Ning Z, Chuang K-J, Cheng T-J, Lee S-C, Hu D, Wang B, Zhang R (2016b) Chemical composition and bioreactivity of PM2.5 during 2013 haze events in China. Atmos Environ 126:162–170
- Hong ZY, Hong YW, Zhang H, Chen JS, Xu LL, Deng JJ, Du WJ, Zhang YR, Xiao H (2017) Pollution characteristics and source apportionment of PM2.5-bound n-alkanes in the Yangtze River Delta, China. Aerosol Air Qual Res 17:1985–1998
- Jalava PI, Hirvonen MR, Sillanpää M, Pennanen AS, Happo MS, Hillamo R, Cassee FR, Gerlofs-Nijland M, Borm PJA, Schins RPF, Janssen NA, Salonen RO (2009) Associations of urban air particulate composition with inflammatory and cytotoxic responses in RAW 246.7 cell line. Inhal Toxicol 21(12):994–1006
- Kroll A, Gietl JK, Wiesmuller GA, Gunsel A, Wohlleben W, Schnekenburger J, Klemm O (2013) In vitro toxicology of ambient particulate matter: correlation of cellular effects with particle size and components. Environ Toxicol 28:76–86
- Kwon S, George SC (1999) Synergistic cytokine-induced nitric oxide production in human alveolar epithelial cells. Nitric Oxide Biol Chem 3:348–357
- Kwon S, Newcomb RL, George SC (2001) Mechanisms of synergistic cytokine-induced nitric oxide production in human alveolar epithelial cells. Nitric Oxide Biol Chem 5:534–546
- Leung PY, Wan HT, Billah MB, Cao JJ, Ho KF, Wong CKC (2014) Chemical and biological characterization of air particulate matter 2.5, collected from five cities in China. Environ Pollut 194:188–195
- Lim JM, Jeong JH, Lee JH, Moon JH, Chung YS, Kim KH (2011) The analysis of PM2.5 and associated elements and their indoor/outdoor pollution status in an urban area. Indoor Air 21:145–155
- Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, Danaei G, Shibuya K, Adair-Rohani H, Amann M, Anderson HR, Andrews KG, Aryee M et al (2012) A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 380:2224–2260
- Limbach LK, Wick P, Manser P, Grass RN, Bruinink A, Stark WJ (2007) Exposure of engineered nanoparticles to human lung epithelial cells: influence of chemical composition and catalytic activity on oxidative stress. Environ Sci Technol 41:4158–4163

- Lin LY, Liu IJ, Chuang HC, Lin HY, Chuang KJ (2013) Size and composition effects of household particles on inflammation and endothelial dysfunction of human coronary artery endothelial cells. Atmos Environ 77:490–495
- Long CM, Suh HH, Koutrakis P (2000) Characterization of indoor particle sources using continuous mass and size monitors. J Air Waste Manage Assoc 50:1236–1250
- Lui KH, Chan CS, Tian LW, Ning BF, Zhou YP, Song XL, Li JW, Cao JJ, Lee SC, Ho KF (2017) Elements in Fine Particulate Matter (PM \$\$\_{2.5}) from Indoor Air During Household Stoves Coal Combustion at Xuanwei, China. Aerosol Sci Eng 1:41–50
- Ma J, Xu X, Zhao C, Yan P (2012) A review of atmospheric chemistry research in China: photochemical smog, haze pollution, and gasaerosol interactions. Adv Atmos Sci 29:1006–1026
- Morawska L, Afshari A, Bae GN, Buonanno G, Chao CYH, Hanninen O, Hofmann W, Isaxon C, Jayaratne ER, Pasanen P, Salthammer T, Waring M, Wierzbicka A (2013) Indoor aerosols: from personal exposure to risk assessment. Indoor Air 23:462–487
- Nam HY, Choi BH, Lee JY, Lee SG, Kim YH, Lee KH, Yoon HK, Song JS, Kim HJ, Lim Y (2004) The role of nitric oxide in the particulate matter (PM2.5)-induced NF kappa B activation in lung epithelial cells. Toxicol Lett 148:95–102

National Bureau of Statistics of China (2012) China Stastical Yearbook

- Perez-Padilla R, Schilmann A, Riojas-Rodriguez H (2010) Respiratory health effects of indoor air pollution. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 14: 1079–1086
- $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Report on the State of the Environment in China (2015) http://159.226.} \\ 251.229/videoplayer/P020160602333160471955.pdf?ich\_u\_r\_i= \\ 853256e8d82598c2384aa6b21cf9670a&ich\_s\_t\_a\_r\_t=0&ich\_e\_ \\ n\_d=0&ich\_k\_e\_y=1745048912750663582459&ich\_t\_y\_p\_e= \\ 1&&ich\_d\_i\_s\_k\_i\_d=10&ich\_u\_n\_i=1. \end{array}$
- Shen HY, Anastasio C (2012) A comparison of hydroxyl radical and hydrogen peroxide generation in ambient particle extracts and laboratory metal solutions. Atmos Environ 46: 665–668
- Shen ZX, Arimoto R, Cao JJ, Zhang RJ, Li XX, Du N, Okuda T, Nakao S, Tanaka S (2008) Seasonal variations and evidence for the effectiveness of pollution controls on water-soluble inorganic species in total suspended particulates and fine particulate matter from Xi'an, China. J Air Waste Manage Assoc 58(12):1560–1570
- Shen Z, Cao J, Arimoto R, Han Z, Zhang R, Han Y, Liu SX, Okuda T, Nakao S, Tanaka S (2009) Ionic composition of TSP and PM2. 5 during dust storms and air pollution episodes at Xi'an. China Atmos Environ 43(18):2911–2918
- Sun B, Fang T (2001) Desertification in China and its control. Sustainable land use in deserts. Springer, Berlin, pp 418–426
- Verma V, Polidori A, Schauer JJ, Shafer MM, Cassee FR, Sioutas C (2009) Physicochemical and toxicological profiles of particulate matter in Los Angeles during the October 2007 Southern California Wildfires. Environ Sci Technol 43:954–960
- Wang P, Cao JJ, Shen ZX, Han YM, Lee SC, Huang Y, Zhu CS, Wang QY, Xu HM, Huang RJ (2015) Spatial and seasonal variations of PM2. 5 mass and species during 2010 in Xi'an, China. Sci Total Environ 508:477–487
- Watson JG, Chow JC, Houck JE (2001) PM2.5 chemical source profiles for vehicle exhaust, vegetative burning, geological material, and coal burning in Northwestern Colorado during 1995. Chemosphere 43:1141–1151
- WHO (2013) Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution— REVIHAAP project: final technical report. The WHO European Centre for Environment and Health, Bonn.
- WHO (2016) Ambient air pollution: a global assessment of exposure and burden of disease. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/250141/ 1/9789241511353-eng.pdf?ua=1.

- Zhang T, Cao JJ, Tie XX, Shen ZX, Liu SX, Ding H, Han YM, Wang GH, Ho KF, Qiang J, Li WT (2011) Water-soluble ions in atmospheric aerosols measured in Xi'an, China: seasonal variations and sources. Atmos Res 102:110–119
- Zhang Y, Tian J, Shen ZX, Wang WJ, Ni HY, Liu SX, Cao JJ (2018) Emission characteristics of PM 2.5 and trace gases from household wood burning in Guanzhong Plain, Northwest China. Aerosol Sci Eng 2(3):130–140
- Zhu Z, Wang T (1993) Trends of desertification and its rehabilitation in China. Desertification Control Bull 22:27–30

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.