

Characterization of Natural and Affected Environments

Environmentally persistent free radical (EPFR) formation by visiblelight illumination of the organic matter in atmospheric particles

Qingcai Chen, Haoyao Sun, Mamin Wang, Yuqin Wang, Lixin Zhang, and Yuemei Han

Environ. Sci. Technol., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.9b02327 • Publication Date (Web): 07 Aug 2019 Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on August 7, 2019

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

1	Environmentally persistent free radical (EPFR) formation				
2	by visible-light illumination of the organic matter in				
3	atmospheric particles				
4	Qingcai Chen, ^{a,*,#} Haoyao Sun, ^{a,#} Mamin Wang, ^a Yuqin Wang, ^a Lixin Zhang, ^a Yuemei				
5	Han ^{b,c}				
6	^a School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shaanxi University of Science and				
7	Technology, Xi'an 710021, China				
8	^b Key Laboratory of Aerosol Chemistry and Physics, State Key Laboratory of Loess and				
9	Quaternary Geology, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an 710061,				
10	China				
11	^c School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts				
12	02138, United States				
13	*Corresponding author e-mail: chenqingcai@sust.edu.cn;				
14	Phone/fax: (+86) 029-86132765;				
15	Address: School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shaanxi University of				
16	Science and Technology, Weiyang District, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710021, China				

ABSTRACT: A secondary process may be an important source of environmentally 17 persistent free radicals (EPFRs) in atmospheric particulates, yet this process has 18 remained to be elucidated. This study demonstrated that secondary EPFRs could be 19 generated by visible-light illumination of atmospheric particulate matter (PM), and 20 their lifetimes were only 30 min to 1 day, which were much shorter than the lifetimes 21 22 of the original EPFRs in PM. The yields of secondary EPFRs produced by PM could reach 15% - 60% of those of the original EPFRs. The extractable organic matter 23 contributed to the formation of secondary EPFRs (~55%), and a humic-like substance 24 was the main precursor of the secondary EPFRs and was also the most productive 25 precursor compared to the other aerosol components. The results of simulation 26 experiments showed that the secondary EPFRs generated by the extractable and 27 nonextractable PM components were similar to those produced by phenolic 28 compounds and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, respectively. We have found that 29 oxygen molecules play an important role in the photochemical generation and decay 30 of EPFRs. Reactive oxygen capture experiments showed that the original EPFRs may 31 32 contribute to singlet oxygen generation, while the secondary EPFRs generated by photoexcitation may not produce singlet oxygen or hydroxyl radicals. 33

34 Key words: PM_{2.5}, Secondary EPFRs, Sources, Formation Mechanism



35 **TOC/Abstract Art**

36



ACS Paragon Plus Environment

1. INTRODUCTION

Environmentally persistent free radicals (EPFRs) are environmentally hazardous 38 substances with longer lifetimes (up to months and years) compared to traditional 39 short-lived free radicals (such as hydroxyl radicals (·OH) and superoxide radicals).¹⁻⁴ 40 EPFRs were first discovered in cigarette tar and are considered to be semiguinones.^{5,6} 41 In recent years, studies have found the presence of EPFRs in soils, fly ash and 42 atmospheric particulates.7-9 Studies have found that EPFRs can catalyze the 43 production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by oxygen molecules, which may cause 44 damage to lung cells.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ 45

In recent years, scholars have gradually increased the research on the sources and 46 formation mechanisms of EPFRs in atmospheric particulate matter (PM). PM EPFRs 47 can originate from both primary and secondary sources. Yang et al. found that coal 48 combustion and traffic source particles contain large amounts of EPFRs.¹⁵ Wang et al. 49 also found that the suspended particles produced by coal combustion contain large 50 amounts of EPFRs and predicted that coal combustion may be an important source of 51 EPFRs in atmospheric PM in the Xuanwei area.¹⁶ The EPFRs in a primary 52 combustion source were certain phenoxy or semiquinone free radicals, which were 53 thought to be mainly formed by the action of metal oxides (such as Fe₂O₃ and CuO) 54 and aromatic substances under high-temperature conditions.¹⁷⁻²⁴ Dust can also 55 contribute to atmospheric EPFRs. Chen et al. found that Asian dust storms can 56 increase the atmospheric EPFR concentration levels and transmit EPFRs over long 57 distances.²⁵ In addition to the primary source, atmospheric EPFRs may also form 58 through a secondary chemical process in the atmosphere. Chen et al. found that the 59 concentrations of EPFRs in fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) in summer in Xi'an were 60 highly correlated with the concentrations of O₃, thereby indicating that certain EPFRs 61 may be related to atmospheric oxidation processes.²⁶ The oxidation of polycyclic 62 aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) by O₃ to form EPFRs has been confirmed under 63 laboratory conditions, and different PAH precursors can generate different types of 64 EPFRs.²⁷ Tong et al.'s laboratory study of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) 65 3 / 28

generation found that the aerosols produced by PAHs contained EPFRs.²⁸ PAHs have 66 also been identified as important precursors for the production of EPFRs by 67 photochemical reactions; the degradation of anthracene and pyrene on clay particles 68 produced many EPFRs that lasted longer than one month.¹⁶ Throughout the current 69 research on the secondary generation mechanism of EPFRs, the mechanism has been 70 found only under laboratory simulation conditions, and most of the research objects 71 are PAHs; furthermore, there are few studies on ambient PM. However, ambient 72 atmospheric particulates contain thousands of compounds. The secondary formation 73 mechanism and physicochemical properties of EPFRs in ambient PM may be more 74 complicated. 75

To understand the characteristics and mechanisms of the photochemical generation 76 of EPFRs in atmospheric PM, in this study, the dynamics, types, decays and relative 77 contributions of photochemically generated EPFRs in atmospheric PM2.5 samples and 78 their different components were systematically studied using an electron 79 paramagnetic resonance spectrometer (EPR). The characteristics of the secondary 80 EPFRs of different PM compounds were also compared, and the possible formation 81 mechanism of secondary EPFRs was discussed. Finally, this study also explored the 82 possibility of generating ROS in secondary EPFRs. The most important conclusion of 83 this study was to demonstrate that atmospheric photochemistry was an important 84 route for the secondary generation of EPFRs in atmospheric PM and that the main 85 precursor for the generation of secondary EPFRs was the humic-like substance 86 (HULIS) component. The results of this study are of great significance for a 87 comprehensive and in-depth understanding of the sources and health effects of EPFRs 88 in atmospheric PM. 89

90 2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Experimental Materials. A Mn^{2+} standard in ZnS and Cr^{3+} standard in MgO were purchased from Freiberg Instruments Inc., Delfter, Germany, and used to correct the *g*-factors and absolute spin numbers of the samples. Glucose (GC purity, \geq 99.5%),

1,4-naphthoquinone (AR purity, $\geq 97.5\%$), hydroquinone (GC purity, $\geq 99.5\%$), 94 2-methyl-4-nitrophenol (GC purity, \geq 99%), o-nitrophenol (GC purity, \geq 99.7%) and 95 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (TEMP) (GC purity, \geq 98%) were purchased from the 96 Aladdin Reagent Company (Shanghai, China). Resorcinol (AR purity, $\geq 97.5\%$), 97 anthracene (GC purity, \geq 99.7%) and pyrene (GC purity, \geq 99%) were purchased from 98 the Macklin Reagent Company (Shanghai, China). High-purity graphite and graphene 99 oxide (GO) were purchased from Shandong Jin Cheng Graphene Technology Co., Ltd. 100 (Beijing, China). A Cleanert C18 cartridge (500 mg/6 mL, Agela, China) was used to 101 the HULIS from the aqueous extracts of PM_{25} separate samples. 102 5-Tert-butoxycarbonyl 5-methyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide (BMPO) (GC purity, $\geq 99\%$, 103 Dojindo Company, Shanghai, China) was used to detect ·OH. 104

2.2. Sample Collection. A high-volume sampler (XT-1025, Shanghai Xintuo, 105 China) was used to collect PM_{2.5} samples at the Shaanxi University of Science and 106 Technology, Weiyang District, Xi'an, China. Each sample collection started at 7:00 107 108 local time, collection lasted 23.5 h, and the sampling flow rate was 1000 L/min. Samples were collected on a baked (450°C, 6 h) quartz filter (2500 QAT-UP, Pallflex 109 Products Co., US), and the samples were stored at - 20°C until testing. A total of 23 110 real atmospheric PM_{2.5} samples were used for the study. To analyze the effects of 111 visible light on real samples, particulates were collected in spring (April 6-8, 2018; n 112 = 3), summer (July 10-12, 2018; n = 3), autumn (October 10-12, 2017; n = 3), and 113 winter (December 27-29, 2017; n = 3), and a dust sample (atmospheric PM_{2.5}; April 114 10-11, 2018; n = 2) was collected during a sandstorm; the PM₁₀ concentrations on 115 April 10 and 11, 2018, were 328 μ g/m³ and 359 μ g/m³ (http://www.cnemc.cn/). The 116 samples used for solvent extraction were the 12 samples from December 1 to 13, 117 2017. 118

2.3. Sample Preparation. Methanol-soluble organic matter (MSOM), HULIS and
high-polarity water-soluble organic matter (HP-WSOM) were prepared for the EPFR
photochemical secondary generation experiments. Two 9 mm PM quartz filters were
placed in a 12 ml glass bottle, and 3 ml of methanol or ultrapure water was added and

vortexed for 5 min; then, the suspension was filtered with a 45 mm polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) filter to obtain MSOM and WSOM. The WSOM was separated into the HULIS and HP-WSOM by a C18 cartridge. The specific method is referenced from another study.²⁹ Finally, the MSOM, HULIS and HP-WSOM were concentrated to 0.1 ml with N_2 .

To explore the possible mechanism by which atmospheric PM generates EPFRs under visible-light conditions, MSOM; HULIS; HP-WSOM; and 10 mg/ml phenol, hydroquinone, resorcinol, o-nitrophenol, 2-methyl-4-nitrophenol, 1,4-naphthoquinone, and pyrene in methanol and anthracene solution of benzene were added dropwise to a 5×28 mm blank quartz filter. A rotary evaporator (Dragon RE100-Pro, Beijing, China) was used to completely evaporate the solvent to be excited by light.

The procedure for separating the nonextractable components in the PM samples 134 was described in Chen et al.²⁹ Briefly, the quartz filter with PM_{2.5} attached was cut 135 into two 50 mm diameter disks, and the samples were stacked on one side and placed 136 in a special filter. Then, the sample was rinsed with water, methanol, dichloromethane, 137 n-hexane, 10 ml each time and filtered three times. After the filtration was completed, 138 the filter was placed in a rotary evaporator to completely evaporate the solvent 139 remaining on the filter to obtain a sample of the nonextractable components 140 containing black carbon. Chen et al. demonstrated that nonextractable components 141 were the main contributors (over 80%) of EPFRs in atmospheric PM2.5.29 Our 142 previous studies have shown that this process has little effect on the original EPFRs.²⁹ 143

2.4. EPR Measurements and Data Analysis. Quartz filters were placed in quartz
tissue cells and detected by an EPR (MS5000, FREIBERG, Germany). Specific test
methods have been discussed in published papers.³⁰ The EPR parameters were set to
magnetic field strength, 335 - 342 mT; detection time, 60 s; modulation amplitude,
0.20000 mT; number of detections, 1; and microwave intensity, 8.0 mW. The
parameters of the online and offline detection methods were the same.

To calculate the lifetimes of the different types of EPFRs, we calculated the lifetimes using the following formula:²⁶

(2)

152
$$\ln(C/C_0) = -kt$$
 (1)

153 $t_{1/e} = 1/k$

where C_0 and C are the initial semaphores of the EPFRs in the sample and the semaphores after decay time t, respectively, and k is the rate constant derived from the logarithmic slope of the radical semaphore ratio (C/C₀) versus time, which results in t_{1/e}.

2.5. Visible-light excitation. The visible-light excitation experiment used a 158 Hamamatsu lamp (E1502 - 04, Optical Stimulation Unit, Japan) as the light source 159 (visible light: $400 \sim 700$ nm), and the light source power was 2.0 W/cm². Directly 160 illuminating the filter with PM_{2.5} or other attached components, the filter receiving 161 power was approximately 3 W, and the temperature and humidity under light 162 conditions were approximately 45°C and 25%, respectively. The light source was 163 connected with the EPR to perform the process of detecting the generation of EPFRs 164 online. Offline detection consisted of directly illuminating the sample with the light 165 166 source for 10 min and then rapidly putting the sample into the EPR to detect the amounts of EPFRs generated. 167

2.6 Organic carbon (OC)/elemental carbon (EC) analysis. The contents of 168 organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) in the sample were quantified using a 169 multiband OC/EC analyzer (DRI, Model 2001A) using the IMPROVE A detection 170 method. The sample was placed in a 100% pure helium atmosphere and heated in four 171 stages at 140°C (OC1), 280°C (OC2), 480°C (OC3) and 580°C (OC4) to pyrolyze the 172 OC material. Then, in a 2% oxygen and 98% helium atmosphere, the EC was heated 173 in three stages: 580°C (EC1), 740°C (EC2) and 840°C (EC3). The carbon dioxide 174 produced at each temperature gradient was detected by a nondispersive infrared 175 (NDIR) detector. The pyrolyzed organic carbon (CPor) was monitored with 632 nm 176 reflected light; finally, OC = OC1 + OC2 + OC3 + OC4 - CPor, EC = EC1 + EC2 + OC4 + OC4 - CPor, EC = EC1 + EC2 + OC4 + OC177 EC3. 178

2.7 Active oxygen detection. To detect the ability of the secondary EPFRs to generate singlet oxygen ($^{1}O_{2}$) and $^{\cdot}OH$, two 9 mm quartz membranes with PM_{2.5} 7/28 attached were obtained, exposed to visible light for 10 min, and rapidly placed in a centrifuge tube, and 0.2 ml of a 100 mM TEMP and BMPO solutions were respectively added in duplicate samples to capture ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and $\cdot OH$. Then, a vortex shaker (MX-S, SCILOGEX, USA) was used to oscillate for 5 min, and 0.03 ml of the suspension was placed into a capillary and placed in the EPR to detect ROS. The nonilluminated sample and the water-soluble substance were treated the same as in the detection method.

The EPR detection parameters for the ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and ${}^{\cdot}OH$ are the same: magnetic field strength, 330 - 342 mT; detection time, 180 s; modulation amplitude, 0.20000 mT; number of detections, 1; and microwave intensity, 8.0 mW.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Visible light stimulates PM to generate EPFRs. This study demonstrated that 192 EPFRs can be generated by the visible-light excitation of atmospheric PM_{2.5} samples. 193 194 As shown in Figure 1a, the $PM_{2.5}$ sample showed a significant enhancement in the EPR signal after being exposed to visible light, indicating that secondary EPFRs were 195 formed by the excitation of the real atmospheric PM_{2.5} sample by visible light. The 196 change in the EPR signal intensity in the experiment may also be affected by the 197 198 ambient temperature and humidity. We eliminated the influences of environmental conditions on the determination of EPFRs in the sample by adding verification 199 experiments (Figure S1). 200

201 The increases in the EPFR contents in different PM_{2.5} samples were different. As shown in Figure 2a, the spring, summer, and autumn samples increased by 1.15 - 1.25 202 times, while the winter sample increased by 1.6 times that of the original EPFR 203 content. This result means that the photochemical formations of EPFRs depend on the 204 chemical composition of the PM, and the winter samples may contain more 205 components that can generate EPFRs by photochemical action. Spring in Xi'an is a 206 season during which sandstorms often occur. The concentrations of EPFRs in PM2.5 in 207 dust weather will increase significantly and can be transmitted over long distances.²⁵ 208

However, this study found that the EPFR signal was not significantly enhanced by visible-light illumination (only approximately 1.1 times higher), indicating that there are not large amounts of components in the dust particles that can be excited by visible light to cause EPFRs to be generated.

The types of secondary EPFRs generated in the PM_{2.5} samples excited by light 213 were different from the EPFRs in the original filter. As shown in Figure 2b, the 214 g-factors of the EPFRs increased by 0.002 - 0.004 after illumination, indicating that 215 the EPFRs generated under light conditions have higher g-factors, which is 216 significantly different from the EPFRs contained in the original samples. To 217 investigate whether EPFRs can continuously be generated in PM samples by 218 illumination, the same sample was repeatedly illuminated three times. As shown in 219 Figure 2b, the results show that EPFRs can be generated during each illumination, and 220 221 the amount of generation and g-factor were the same each time. The results indicate that the generation of EPFRs was reproducible, and the precursors of the EPFRs that 222 can be generated in PM are not consumed with the increase in the illumination time 223 under visible-light illumination. 224

We studied the kinetics of the photochemical generation and decay of EPFRs. 225 Figure 1b shows the relative concentrations of EPFRs over time during continuous 226 illumination of the PM samples (the spring, summer, and autumn samples can refer to 227 Figure S2, S3). The increasing trends of the EPFRs after exposure to light can be 228 roughly divided into three stages: the EPFR content increased rapidly during the first 229 1 min; then, the rate of increase gradually slowed down within 1-7 min; finally, the 230 EPFR content stabilized until approximately 7 min. When the illumination stopped, 231 the EPFRs showed a rapid decay tendency (within 1 min), after which the decay rate 232 slowed, and the EPFR content decreased to the initial level within 1 day. This rapid 233 decay indicates that the secondary generated EPFRs are chemically unstable. As 234 shown in Figure 1c, the variations in the g-factors of the EPFRs are slightly different 235 from the trend of the EPFR concentration. The g-factor will increase rapidly within 1 236 min of the start of illumination, while the g-factor will remain essentially unchanged 237 within 1 - 10 min. When the illumination is stopped, the g-factor will slowly decrease 238

and decrease to the *g*-factor value of the initial sample within 1 day.

The above results indicate that the process of photoexcitation to generate EPFRs 240 was rapid, and the generated EPFRs were unstable, which means that the short-term 241 health risks of this portion of the secondary EPFRs may be higher. This portion of the 242 secondary EPFRs was significantly different from the original EPFRs in the sample. 243 The g-factors of the EPFRs in the original sample were lower than those of the 244 secondary EPFRs, and the lifetimes were much longer than those of the secondary 245 EPFRs.²⁹ The secondary formation of EPFRs may also be included in the ambient PM 246 samples. The EPFRs in the autumn samples showed significant attenuation levels in 247 the first few days.²⁶ This portion of the EPFRs that were susceptible to decay may 248 contain EPFRs formed by photoexcitation in the real atmosphere. The percentages of 249 rapid decay of the EPFRs in the different seasons were different. This phenomenon 250 may be due to the different sources and chemical components of the EPFRs in 251 different seasons, resulting in different levels of EPFRs generated by light excitation. 252 There were many occurrences of dusty weather in spring, and the sand dust samples 253 were not easily excited by visible light to generate EPFRs. Most of the substances that 254 can be excited in PM_{2.5} in the summer may have been decomposed by intense light 255 and other oxidants. The extreme decay of the EPFRs in the autumn samples has been 256 verified,²⁶ which may be related to photochemically generated EPFRs. The 257 concentrations of EPFRs in the winter samples were relatively high, but the seasonal 258 illumination was weak. The relative amounts of EPFRs generated by light in the real 259 samples were limited, so there was a large number of EPFRs generated by visible 260 light in the winter samples. 261

3.2. Photochemical generation of EPFRs from the different components in PM_{2.5}. The above results indicate that the atmospheric PM samples contain certain components that can be excited by visible light to produce EPFRs. To study which components lead to the formation of EPFRs under illumination conditions, this study investigated the generation of EPFRs by different polar PM components. Figure 3a shows the average EPR spectra of the EPFRs produced by different PM components

excited by visible light. The results showed that the concentrations of EPFRs excited 268 in the washed sample were significantly lower than those of the original sample 269 (average reduction: 55%), but our previous research shows that the contents of the 270 original EPFRs in the extracted sample underwent little change (average reduction: 271 12%).²⁹ This finding indicates that the extractable PM components may have an 272 important contribution to the generation of secondary EPFRs. Our previous research 273 shows that MSOM contains only small amounts of original EPFRs (< 3%),²⁹ but after 274 exposure to visible light, large amounts of EPFRs are produced (see Figure 3a). The 275 g-factor of the EPFRs generated by MSOM was 2.0050 ± 0.0001 , which was a typical 276 O-centered free radical: the result was consistent with the EPFR characteristics of the 277 photochemical secondary generation of the original sample. Similarly, the HULIS was 278 also excited by visible light to produce a large number of EPFRs, and the HULIS 279 EPFR production and g-factor (2.0051 ± 0.0001) were similar to those of the MSOM. 280 In contrast, the HP-WSOM did not produce EPFRs after being exposed to visible light. 281 The above results indicate that the HULIS in the PM samples were the most important 282 components in generating secondary EPFRs. Based on the above results, as shown in 283 Figure 3b, we conclude that the contributions of the extractable and nonextractable 284 PM components to the secondary EPFRs were 55% and 45%, respectively, while the 285 286 contribution rate of the HULIS in the extractable components reached 82% of the total EPFR production. Because methanol can be extracted, including HULIS and 287 water-insoluble organics, it can be inferred that the methanol-extractable 288 water-insoluble organics contribute only approximately 18% of the total EPFR 289 production. To compare the abilities of different components to photochemically 290 generate EPFRs, the study used the amounts of OC in different components to 291 standardize the ability to generate EPFRs. As shown in Figure 3c, the HULIS had the 292 highest generation capability of 8×10^{13} spins/µg OC. The washed sample (2.8×10^{13}) 293 spins/µg OC) and methanol-extractable water-insoluble component (1.8 \times 10¹³ 294 spins/µg OC) followed, while the HP-WSOM did not have the ability to generate 295 EPFRs. 296

297 The *g*-factors of the EPFRs generated by photoexcitation of the MSOM and HULIS 11/28

were between 2.0048 and 2.0052, similar to those of the semiquinone-type free 298 radicals.³¹ This result may be caused by certain aromatic compounds containing 299 heteroatoms excited by visible light. For example, hydroquinone, catechol and other 300 organic substances will undergo dehydrogenation under light conditions to form 301 phenoxy radicals, cyclopentadienyl and semiquinone radicals.¹⁸ The washed filter can 302 still be photoexcited to form EPFRs, which may be formed by difficult-to-extract 303 substances containing macromolecular polyphenyl rings, such as GO-like materials. 304 Chen et al. believed that the residual substances in PM contain GO-like substances 305 and are the main EPFR contributors in the original sample,²⁹ while GO itself can 306 undergo photocatalytic reactions.³² The added experiments have confirmed that 307 EPFRs are produced after GO is illuminated, and the EPFRs produced are similar to 308 those of the solvent-washed filter (Figure S5). We conclude that GO may be an 309 important contributor to the formation of EPFRs in the leaching residue. 310

3.3. Light stimulates the reference compound to generate EPFRs. To determine 311 312 which substances in PM_{2.5} are excited by light to produce EPFRs, different reference compounds were used to simulate EPFR generation by PM. The selection of these 313 chemical standards was based on the characteristics of the chemical components in 314 the real atmospheric sample. The reference substances and results are shown in Table 315 1 (the EPR spectrum is shown in Figure S6). The results showed that all organic 316 compounds except glucose produced EPFRs after stimulation, but the characteristics 317 of the EPFRs generated were different. The g-factors of phenolic substances, such as 318 phenol, nitrophenol, etc., were between 2.0048 and 2.0052, which was very consistent 319 with the EPFRs generated by extractable components (HULIS and MSOM). However, 320 the PAHs and phenolic compounds were different. The g-factor of the EPFRs 321 generated by anthracene and 1,4-naphthoquinone was 2.0044 - 2.0046, which was 322 similar to that reported by Tong et al.²⁸ The g-factor of the EPFRs generated by 323 pyrene was 2.0040 - 2.0042. The production of EPFRs by PAHs may be related to the 324 photolysis of PAHs. For example, anthracene was photolyzed to produce 325 9,10-anthraquinone.³³ The g-factor of the EPFRs produced by PAH illumination was 326

significantly lower than that of the extractable PM components, indicating that PAHs
were unlikely to be the main precursors of the photochemically generated EPFRs in
the extracted components. The formation of EPFRs in the extractable components of
PM was more similar to the contributions of phenolic compounds.

This study compared the decay characteristics of the photochemically generated 331 EPFRs from different compounds and different atmospheric PM components. Figure 332 4 shows the decay curves of the EPFRs generated by different PM extraction 333 components and different standard compounds. The decay of the EPFRs generated by 334 MSOM can be divided into two stages. The first stage is fast decay, and its 1/e 335 lifetime was 31 min, which was approximately 63%; the second stage was slow decay, 336 and its 1/e lifetime was 20 days, which accounted for approximately 37%. Similarly, 337 the HULIS also had similar results to that of the MSOM (see Figure S7), and both 338 EPFRs had similar decay characteristics to those of the ambient PM sample (see 339 Figure 1b). This result reaffirms that the extractable components were the main 340 contributors in the PM samples to be excited by light to generate EPFRs. As shown in 341 Figure 4b, the decay characteristics of phenol can be divided into two stages: fast 342 decay and slower decay. The 1/e lifetimes were 21 min and 1 day, respectively, and 343 the ratio of both parts was 50%. Hydroquinone, resorcinol, etc. were similar (see 344 Figure S7) to the MSOM and HULIS. The decay characteristics of anthracene can be 345 divided into two stages (Figure 4c), where the 1/e lifetimes were 0.4 days and 39 days, 346 and the proportions of the two parts were 45% and 55%. The results for 347 1,4-naphthoguinone were very similar to those of anthracene (see Figure S5). 348 However, the results were all different from those of pyrene, and the EPFRs generated 349 by pyrene not only have no attenuation but increase in the first 5 min (approximately 350 1.2 times), after which the concentration remains unchanged (Figure 4d). This 351 phenomenon of no reduction was also observed for the water-insoluble organic matter 352 (OM) of PM_{2.5}, which may be attributed to the continued oxidation of the phenolic 353 functional groups leading to the formation of quinonoids in the atmosphere.²⁹ Based 354 on the results of the above comparative analysis, it was speculated that the substances 355 in the extractable components that can be photoexcited to generate EPFRs may be 356 13 / 28

mainly attributed to the phenolic substances rather than the PAHs. In contrast, the formation of EPFRs by the nonextractable components was most likely caused by PAHs. From the overall photochemical generation of EPFRs by PM, the contributions of phenol-like substances and PAHs to the secondary formation of EPFRs were similar.

To further clarify the mechanisms of secondary EPFR formation and decay, this 362 study investigated the effects of oxygen molecules on the formation and decay of 363 EPFRs. The MSOM was placed under N₂ and air conditions for illumination to 364 generate EPFRs. The results showed that the same number of EPFRs were generated 365 under both conditions, and the EPFRs were all oxygen-centered EPFRs (Figure S8a). 366 However, when pyrene was placed under N₂ and air conditions for illumination, the 367 amounts of EPFRs generated under N₂ conditions were reduced by 50% - 60% 368 compared to those under air conditions (Figure S8c). This result indicated that the O₂ 369 molecule may be a necessary condition for the generation of secondary EPFRs. 370 However, MSOM can still generate secondary EPFRs in the absence of oxygen, 371 probably because MSOM itself contains a large number of oxygen-containing 372 functional groups,³⁴ which can provide the oxygen atoms required for the formation 373 of EPFRs. In contrast, PAHs do not have oxygen-containing functional groups 374 themselves, so external oxygen molecules must participate in the reaction to generate 375 secondary EPFRs. For example, the central ring of anthracene has a low p-orbital 376 stability, which makes the ring vulnerable to attack by O₂,^{35,36} and its photooxidation 377 products anthraquinone and hydroxyanthraquinone are considered to be toxic.³⁷⁻³⁹ 378 Similarly, the EPFRs generated by MSOM were placed under N2 and air conditions to 379 observe the decay characteristics. The results show (Figure S8b) that the secondary 380 EPFRs in N₂ decay only 10%-20% in 45 min, which is significantly lower than when 381 exposed to air (decay ~ 60%). This result indicates that the O_2 molecule promotes the 382 383 decay of secondary EPFRs. We speculate that the secondary generated EPFRs may be 384 in a similar triplet excited state formed by illumination-excited OM and can transfer energy to O₂ molecules to generate ¹O₂ during decay. Generally, the triplet state of 385 OM (³OM*) was 180-310 kJ/mol, which was significantly higher than the energy of 386 14 / 28

 $^{1}O_{2}$ of 94 kJ/mol, so the $^{3}OM^{*}$ was fully capable of converting O_{2} into $^{1}O_{2}$.⁴⁰

Based on the above experimental results, we hypothesized the possible formation 388 and decay mechanisms of secondary EPFRs in atmospheric PM (Figure S9). We 389 divided the EPFR generation processes into two categories according to whether the 390 precursor contained oxygen atoms. The OM containing oxygen atoms was 391 photoexcited to generate electron transitions that formed EPFRs similar to the excited 392 condition of the triplet state. Organic substances that do not contain oxygen atoms, 393 such as PAHs, must participate in the formation of EPFRs with oxygen molecules to 394 form O-PAHs that contain oxygen atoms and then generate excited states to form 395 EPFRs. When the secondary EPFRs decay, O_2 molecules act as quenchers for the 396 EPFRs, which themselves are converted to ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and the EPFRs are returned to the 397 ground state. Therefore, the secondary EPFRs were prone to decay in the presence of 398 oxygen and could be repeatedly excited to produce EPFRs. 399

3.4. Light-excited EPFRs generate ROS. The above results indicate that the 400 401 secondary EPFRs were extremely unstable, indicating that secondary EPFRs may have high chemical reactivities. To investigate whether photochemically generated 402 secondary EPFRs contribute to the oxidation potential of PM, this study investigated 403 the abilities of secondary EPFRs to generate ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and ${}^{\cdot}OH$. The concentration of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ 404 produced by the PM samples changes with time, and the ¹O₂ concentration tends to be 405 stable at 24 h (Figure S10). As shown in Figure 5a and Figure 5b, the original filter 406 sample, the lighted filter sample and the water-soluble substance of the original filter 407 sample produced similar concentrations of ¹O₂ in the initial 5 min. However, after 24 408 h, the ¹O₂ concentrations produced by the original filter sample and the illuminated 409 filter sample were significantly enhanced (approximately 100% increase), but the 410 samples produced the same ¹O₂ concentrations, while the water-soluble substance had 411 only a slight increase (increased by approximately 20%). Note that the illuminated 412 filter samples were only illuminated before the ROS capture experiment in order to 413 generate secondary EPFRs, and the ROS capture and storage process was performed 414 in dark conditions for all samples, thus avoiding the ROS generation caused by 415

illumination in the capture experiment. Since the ROS production amount and the time-varying curve of the original sample and the illuminated sample are completely identical, the PM that can produce ${}^{1}O_{2}$ mainly contained certain water-insoluble substances. The EPFRs in the original filter were likely to produce ${}^{1}O_{2}$, and this reaction process was slower than that of the active water-soluble oxidation substance.^{9,14} However, the secondary EPFRs generated by photochemistry did not produce significant levels of ${}^{1}O_{2}$.

As shown in Figure 5c, the original sample and the illuminated sample produced 423 weaker signals of OH, which were significantly lower than the signal intensity 424 of OH (approximately 2.5 times) produced by the water-soluble substance. This 425 result indicates that water-insoluble substances, such as certain reducing organic 426 substances in PM, may quench ·OH. Comparing the signal intensities of the ·OH 427 428 generated by the original sample and the illuminated sample, the secondary EPFRs did not produce significant ·OH. Tong et al. found that the SOAs produced from 429 naphthalene contained EPFRs but did not produce ·OH.²⁸ Note that this study does 430 not rule out the hydrolysis of secondary EPFRs or chemical reactions with other 431 aerosol components. This experiment does not strictly state that secondary EPFRs do 432 not have the ability to produce ROS. At present, the theory is that the health risks of 433 434 EPFRs are thought to be due to the interaction of EPFRs with oxygen molecules to generate ROS. Combined with the results of this study, we believe that it is time to 435 thoroughly investigate whether secondary EPFRs can cause health hazards. 436

437 **4. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

In this study, we demonstrated that secondary photochemical processes may be an important mechanism for the formation of short-life EPFRs in atmospheric PM. This result is important for understanding the sources and formation mechanisms of atmospheric EPFRs. It was generally determined that atmospheric EPFRs last at least one day or longer after the collection of PM.^{1,2,25} Our results indicate that previous studies may have underestimated the total concentrations of EPFRs, especially in

seasons and regions where the light was intense. Secondary EPFRs may have decayed
rapidly during the sampling process. Generally, a rapid decay means that the chemical
reactivity is strong, and the risk of health damage should be higher.⁴² Therefore, the
health damage of the PM samples analyzed offline may be partially underestimated.
Future efforts should include online monitoring of the health damage or assessment
methods, such as the online monitoring of atmospheric EPFRs, ROS, etc.⁴³

This study found that the extractable OM was the main component contributing to 450 the formation of secondary EPFRs, of which the HULIS was the main contributors, 451 and their abilities to generate secondary EPFRs were stronger than those of the other 452 PM components. The facts and mechanisms of the photochemical generation of PAHs 453 have been studied in previous studies,44-46 but the formation of EPFRs in other organic 454 components of the atmospheric PM has not been fully studied. The results of this 455 study demonstrated that the HULIS is an important secondary EPFR-forming 456 precursor in addition to the PAHs in atmospheric PM. The EPFRs generated by 457 extractable and nonextractable components may be mainly derived from phenolic 458 compounds and PAHs, respectively. This information provides important insights into 459 a deeper understanding of the secondary EPFR generation mechanism in atmospheric 460 PM. 461

This study found that O₂ plays an important role in the photochemical generation 462 and decay of EPFRs. This finding indicates that the photochemical generation of 463 EPFRs in the atmospheric PM was controlled not only by the conditions of 464 illumination but also by the transfer of O_2 from the atmosphere to the interior of the 465 particles. However, the latter does not affect the majority of the aerosol OM, such as 466 HULIS, to produce EPFRs because the OM itself can provide the oxygen atoms 467 needed for the reaction. This condition was different from the conditions needed for 468 PAHs to form EPFRs. PAHs must participate in the reaction of O₂ to form EPFRs.¹⁶ 469 Regardless of whether the precursor contains oxygen atoms, oxygen molecules are 470 important quenchers during decay. It can be speculated that the internally contained 471 EPFRs may be more stable than the surface-distributed EPFRs,⁴⁹ which is due to the 472 lower concentrations of O₂ inside the particles. The EPFRs distributed on the surface 473 17 / 28

of PM can be sufficiently in contact with air to decay faster. However, for the EPFRs
existing inside the particles, only a small amount is quenched by O₂. Future research
should confirm this mechanism.

 O_2 quenches EPFRs and may generate ROS, such as superoxide. Khachatryan's research shows that PM EPFRs can convert oxygen molecules into superoxide anions, which in turn generate OH.¹⁴ The results of this study showed that the EPFRs in the original membrane were likely to contribute to the formation of ${}^{1}O_{2}$. However, the secondary EPFRs generated by photoexcitation did not produce large amounts of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and OH. The current contradiction is not fully understood. Whether secondary EPFRs have health risks requires further research in the future.

484 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

485 Supporting information

The supporting information contains additional details, including the EPR spectra of 486 487 the samples under different environmental conditions, the time-variation curves of the EPFR concentration and g-factor in the different seasons, the relative amounts of the 488 secondary EPFRs generated by different components in the real atmospheric 489 particulates, the EPR spectra of phenolic compounds, the PAHs and GO after 490 illumination, the decay curves of the secondary EPFRs generated by the selected 491 phenolic substances and PAHs, the effects of air on the generation and decay of 492 secondary EPFRs, the generation mechanism of secondary EPFRs and the intensities 493 of the ¹O₂ signal changes with time. 494

495 **Author information**

- 496 Corresponding Author:
- ⁴⁹⁷ *Q.C., phone/fax: 0086-029-86132765; e-mail: chenqingcai@sust.edu.cn.
- 498 Author Contributions:
- [#]Q.C. and H.S. contributed equally to this work.
- 500 Acknowledgments

501 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

502 (grant numbers: 41877354 and 41703102) and the Natural Science Foundation of

503 Shaanxi Province, China (2018JM4011).

504 **References**

(1) Vejerano, E. P.; Rao, G.; Khachatryan, L.; Cormier, S. A.; Lomnicki, S.
Environmentally persistent free radicals: Insights on a new class of pollutants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, 52 (5), 2468–2481.

508 (2) Gehling, W,; Dellinger B. Environmentally persistent free radicals and their 509 lifetimes in PM2.5. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (15), 8172–8178.

(3) Pryor, W. A. Oxy-Radicals and Related Species: Their Formation, Lifetimes, and
 Reactions. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **1986**, 48, 657–667.

(4) Finkelstein, E.; Rosen, G. M.; Rauckman, E. J. Production of hydroxyl radical by
decomposition of superoxide spin-trapped adducts. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 1982, 21 (2),
262–265.

(5) Ingram, D. J. E.; Tapley, J. G.; Jackson, R.; Ingram, D. J. E.; Tapley, J. G.; Jackson,
R.; Bond, R. L.; Murnaghan, A. R. Paramagnetic resonance in carbonaceous solids. *Nature.* 1954, 174 (4434), 797–798.

(6) Lyons, M. J.; Spence, J. B. Environmental free radicals. *Br. J. Cancer.* **1960**, 14
(4), 703–708.

(7) Dellinger, B.; Pryor, W. A.; Cueto, R.; Squadrito, G. L.; Hegde, V.; Deutsch, W. A.
Role of free radicals in the toxicity of airborne fine particulate matter. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 2001, 14 (10), 1371–1377.

(8) Truong, H.; Lomnicki, S.; Dellinger, B. Potential for misidentification of
environmentally persistent free radicals as molecular pollutants in particulate matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 44 (6), 1933–1939.

526 (9) Vejerano, E.; Lomnicki, S.; Dellinger, B. Formation and stabilization of 527 combustion-generated environmentally persistent free radicals on an 528 Fe(III)2O3/silica surface. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, 45 (2), 589–594.

(10) D'Arienzo, M.; Gamba, L.; Morazzoni, F.; Cosention, U.; Creco, C.; Lasagni, M.;
Pitea, D.; Moro, G.; Cepek, C.; Butera, V.; Sicilia, E.; Russo, N.; Muñoz-García, A.;
Pavone, M. Experimental and theoretical investigation on the catalytic generation of
environmentally persistent free radicals from benzene. *J. Phys. Chem. A.* 2017, 121
(17), 9381–9393.

(11) Thevenot, P. T.; Saravia, J.; Jin, N.; Giaimo, J. D.; Chustz, R. E.; Mahne, S.;
Kelley, M. A.; Hebert, V. Y.; Dellinger, B.; Dugas, T. R.; Demayo, F. G.; Cormier, S. A.
Radical-containing ultrafine particulate matter initiates epithelial-to-mesenchymal
transitions in airway epithelial cells. *Am. J. Respir. Cell. Mol. Biol.* 2013, 48 (2),
188–197.

(12) Harmon, A. C.; Hebert, V. Y.; Cormier, S. A.; Subramanian, B.; Reed, J. R.;
Backes, W. L.; Dugas, T. R. Particulate matter containing environmentally persistent

free radicals induces AhRdependent cytokine and reactive oxygen species production
in human bronchial epithelial cells. *Plos. One.* 2018, 13 (10), e0205412.

(13) Blakley, R. L.; Henry, D. D.; Smith, C. J. Lack of correlation between cigarette
mainstream smoke particulate phase radicals and hydroquinone yield. *Food. Chem. Toxicol.* 2001, 39 (4), 401–406.

(14) Khachatryan, L.; Dellinger, B. Environmentally persistent free radicals
(EPFRs)-2. Are free hydroxyl radicals generated in aqueous solutions?. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2011, 45 (21), 9232–9239.

(15) Yang, L.; Liu, G.; Zheng, M.; Jin, R.; Zhu, Q; Zhao, Y.; Wu, X.; Yang, X. Highly
elevated levels and particle-size distributions of environmentally persistent free
radicals in haze-associated atmosphere. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, 51 (14),
7936–7944.

(16) Wang, P.; Pan, B.; Li, H.; Huang, Y.; Dong, X.; Fang, A.; Liu, L.; Wu, Min.; Xing, B.
The overlooked occurrence of environmentally persistent free radicals in an area
with low-rank coal burning, Xuanwei, China. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, 52 (3),
1054–1061.

(17) Cormier, S. A.; Lomnicki, S.; Backes, W.; Delligner, B. Origin and health
impacts of emissions of toxic by-products and fine particles from combustion and
thermal treatment of hazardous wastes and materials. *Environ. Health. Perspect.*2006, 114 (6), 810–817.

(18) Dellinger, B.; Lomnicki, S.; Khachatryan, L.; Maskos, Z.; Hall, R. W.;
Adounkpe, J.; McFerrin, C.; Truong, H. Formation and stabilization of persistent free
radicals. *Proc. Combust. Inst.* 2007, 31 (1), 521–528.

(19) Lomnicki, S.; Truong, H.; Vejerano, E.; Delligner, B. Copper oxide-based model
of persistent free radical formation on combustion-derived particulate matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 42 (13), 4982–4988.

(20) Truong, H.; Lomnicki, S.; Dellinger, B. Potential for misidentification of
 environmentally persistent free radicals as molecular pollutants in particulate matter.
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 2010, 44 (6), 1933–1939.

(21) Vejerano, E.; Lomnicki, S.; Dellinger, B. Lifetime of combustion-generated
environmentally persistent free radicals on Zn(II)O and other transition metal oxides. *J. Environ. Monit.* 2012, 14 (10), 2803–2806.

(22) Patterson, M. C.; Keilbart, N. D.; Kiruri, L. W.; Thibodeaux, C. A.; Lomnicki, S.;
Kurtz, R. L.; Poliakoff, E. D.; Dellinger, B.; Sprunger, P. T. EPFR formation from phenol adsorption on Al2O3 and TiO2: EPR and EELS studies. *Chem. Phys.* 2013, 422,
277–282.

(23) Vejerano, E.; Lomnicki, S.; Dellinger, B. Formation and stabilization of
combustion-generated environmentally persistent free radicals on an Fe(III)
203/silica surface. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 45 (2), 589–594.

(24) Vejerano, E.; Lomnicki, S. M.; Dellinger, B. Formation and Stabilization of
Combustion-Generated, Environmentally Persistent Radicals on Ni(II)O Supported on
a Silica Surface. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2012, 46 (17), 9406–9411.

(25) Chen, Q.; Wang, M.; Sun, H.; Wang, X.; Wang, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhang, L., Mu, Z. Enhanced health risks from exposure to environmentally persistent free radicals and

the oxidative stress of PM2.5 from asian dust storms in erenhot, Zhangbei and Jinan,
China. *Environ. Int.* 2018, 123, 260–268.

(26) Chen, Q.; Sun, H.; Mu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhang, L.; Wang, M.; Zhang, Z.
Characteristics of environmentally persistent free radicals in PM2.5: Concentrations,
species and sources in Xi'an, Northwestern China. *Environ. Pollut.* 2019, 247, 18–26.

(27) Borrowman, C. K.; Zhou, S.; Burrow. T. E.; Abbatt J. P. D. Formation of
environmentally persistent free radicals from the heterogeneous reaction of ozone
and polycyclic aromatic compounds. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2015, 18 (1), 205–212.

(28) Tong, H.; Lakey, P. S. J.; Arangio, A. M.; Socorro, J.; Shen, F.; Lucas, K.; Brune,
W. H.; Pöschl, U.; Shiraiwa, M. Reactive oxygen species formed by secondary organic
aerosols in water and surrogate lung fluid. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, 52 (20),
11642–11651.

(29) Chen, Q.; Sun, H.; Wang, M.; Mu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, L.;
Zhang, Z. Dominant fraction of EPFRs from Nonsolvent-Extractable organic matter in
fine particulates over Xi'an, China. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, 52 (17), 9646–9655.

(30) Chen, Q.; Wang, M.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Xue, J.; Sun, H.; Mu, Z. Rapid
determination of environmentally persistent free radicals (EPFRs) in atmospheric
particles with a quartz sheet-based approach using electron paramagnetic resonance
(EPR) spectroscopy. *Atmos. Environ.* **2018**, 184, 140–145.

604 (31) Kiruri, L.W.; Khachatryan, L.; Dellinger, B.; Lomnicki, S. Effect of copper oxide 605 concentration on the formation and persistency of environmentally persistent free 606 radicals (EPFRs) in particulates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, 48 (4), 2212–2217.

(32) Yeh, T. F.; Syu, J. M.; Cheng, C.; Chang, T. H.; Teng, H. Graphite oxide as a
photocatalyst for hydrogen production from water. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2010, 20 (14),
2255–2262.

(33) Dabestani, R.; Ellis, K. J.; Sigman, M. E. Photodecomposition of anthracene on
dry surfaces: products and mechanism. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A. Chem.* 1995,
86(1), 231–239.

(34) Chen, Q.; Ikemori, F.; Higo, H.; Asakawa, D.; Mochida, M. Chemical structural
characteristics of HULIS and other fractionated organic matter in urban aerosols:
Results from mass spectral and FT-IR analysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, 50 (4),
1721–1730.

(35) Mallakin, A.; McConkey, B.J.; Miao, G.; Mckibben, B.; Snieckus, V.; Dixon, D.G.;
Greenberg, B.M. Impacts of structural photomodification on the toxicity of
environmental contaminants: anthracene photooxidation products. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe.* 1999, 43, 204–212.

(36) Mezey, P.G.; Zimpel, Z.; Warburton, P.; Walker, P.D.; Irvine, D.G.; Huang, X.-D.;
Dixon, D.G.; Greenberg, B.M. Use of QShAR to model the photoinduced toxicity of
PAHs: Electron density shape features accurately predict toxicity. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 1998. 17, 1207–1215.

(37) Huang, X.D.; McConkey, B.J.; Babu, T.S.; Greenberg, B.M. Mechanism of
photoinduced toxicity of photomodified anthracene to plants: inhibition of
photosynthesis in the aquatic higher plant Lemna gibba (Duckweed). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 1997, 8, 1707–1715.

(38) Mallakin, A.; Dixon, D. G.; Greenberg, B. M. Pathway of anthracene 629 modification under simulated solar radiation. Chemosphere. 2000, 40(12), 630 1435-1441. 631 (39) Krylov, S.N.; Huang, X.D.; Zeiler, L.F.; Dixon, D.G.; Greenberg, B.M. 632 Mechanistic quantitative structure-activity relationship model for the photoinduced 633 toxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: I. Physical model based on chemical 634 kinetics in a two-compartment system. Environ. Toxi. Chem. 1997, 16 (11), 635 2283-2295. 636 (40) McNeill, K.; Canonica, S. Triplet state dissolved organic matter in aquatic 637 638 photochemistry: reaction mechanisms, substrate scope, and photophysical 639 properties. Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts. 2016, 18, 1381–1399. (41) Monge, M.E.; D'Anna, B.; Mazri, L.; Giroir-Fendler, A.; Ammann, M.; 640 Donaldson, D.J.; George, C. Light changes the atmospheric reactivity of soot. Proc 641 Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2010, 107(15), 6605-6609. 642 (42) Dellinger, B.; Lomnicki, S.; Khachatryan, L.; Maskos, Z.; Hall, R. W.; Adounkpe, 643 644 J., McFerrin, C.; Truong, H. Formation and stabilization of persistent free radicals. *Proc Combust Inst.* **2007**, 31(1), 521–528. 645 (43) Huang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Zeng, L.; Dong, H.; Huo, P.; Fang, D.; Schauer, 646 J. J. Development of an automated sampling-analysis system for simultaneous 647 measurement of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in gas and particle phases: GAC-ROS. 648 Atmos. Environ. 2016, 134, 18-26. 649 650 (44) Meulenberg, R.; Rijnaarts, H. H.; Doddema, H. J.; Field, J. A. Partially oxidized 651 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons show an increased bioavailability and biodegradability. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1997, 152(1), 45-9. 652 (45) Nikolaou, K.; Masclet, P.; Mouvier, G. Sources and chemical reactivity of 653 polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons in the atmosphere – A critical review. Sci Total 654 Environ. 1984, 32(2), 103-132. 655 (46) Chen. L.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, B. In situ simultaneous determination the photolysis 656 of multi-component PAHs adsorbed on the leaf surfaces of living Kandelia candel 657 seedlings. Talanta. 2010, 83(2), 324-331. 658 (47) Chen, F.; Mochida, Μ. Lightabsorption 659 Q.; Ikemori, and excitation-emissionfluorescence of urban organic aerosolcomponents and their 660 relationship to chemical structure. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2016, 50(20), 10859–10868. 661 (48) Chen, Q.; Ikemori, F.; Nakamura Y.; Vodicka, P.; Kawamura, K.; Mochida, M. 662 Structural and light-absorption characteristics of complex water-insoluble organic 663 mixtures in urban submicron aerosols. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017, 51(15), 664 8293-8303. 665 (49) Gehling, W.; Khachatryan, L.; Dellinger, B. Hydroxyl Radical Generation from 666

Environmentally Persistent Free Radicals (EPFRs) in PM2.5. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2014,
48(8), 4266–4272.

type of sample	g-factor		ΔH_{p-p} (Gs)	
	range	mean \pm SD	range	mean ± SD
MSOM	2.0048 - 2.0052	2.0050 ± 0.0001	7.3 - 8.0	7.7 ± 0.3
HULIS	2.0050 - 2.0054	2.0051 ± 0.0001	6.2 - 7.7	7.2 ± 0.5
Washed sample	2.0029 - 2.0033	2.0031 ± 0.0002	5.3 - 6.9	6.2 ± 0.5
GO	2.0034 - 2.0034	2.0034 ± 0.0000	3.1 - 3.7	3.4 ± 0.3
Phenol	2.0049 - 2.0054	2.0051 ± 0.0002	6.1 - 7.1	6.5 ± 0.5
Hydroquinone	2.0052 - 2.0053	2.0052 ± 0.0001	6.5 - 8.2	7.4 ± 1.2
Resorcinol	2.0047 - 2.0048	2.0047 ± 0.0001	4.2 - 5.2	4.8 ± 0.5
2-Methyl-4-nitrophenol	2.0052 - 2.0055	2.0053 ± 0.0001	8.6 - 9.8	9.3 ± 0.6
o-Nitrophenol	2.0056 - 2.0061	2.0059 ± 0.0002	8.1 - 9.9	9.2 ± 1.0
Anthracene	2.0044 - 2.0046	2.0045 ± 0.0001	6.4 - 6.7	6.6 ± 0.1
Pyrene	2.0040 - 2.0042	2.0041 ± 0.0001	6.4 - 7.2	6.7 ± 0.4
1,4-Naphthoquinone	2.0046 - 2.0046	2.0046 ± 0.0000	5.1 - 5.6	5.3 ± 0.2
Glucose		No EPFRs were ge	nerated	
HP-WSOM				

Table 1. The *g*-factor and ΔH_{p-p} values of the EPFRs produced by different PM components and different reference materials excited by visible light.



672

Figure 1. (a) Average EPR spectra of the $PM_{2.5}$ samples before and after illumination (December 27-29, 2017; n = 3). (b) Time-varying curves of the EPFR signal intensity and (c) *g*-factor during continuous illumination. The shaded area in the figure represents the standard deviation range, where the yellow areas of (b) and (c) represent the illumination process, the gray areas represent the nonillumination process, and the lines of different colors represent different modes of variation.



679

Figure 2. Comparison of the amounts of photochemically generated EPFRs and the 680 g-factors of the PM_{2.5} samples in different seasons. (a) Comparison of EPFRs 681 concentration before and after illumination. Co represents the original concentration of 682 683 EPFRs, and C represents the concentration of EPFRs after illumination. (b) Comparison of g-factor before and after illumination. Sandstorm represents the 684 685 sample collected under dusty weather conditions. R-Winter indicates the results of repeated illumination of the winter sample (the sample is again illuminated by light 686 when EPFRs are generated by the last illumination decay). 687



688

Figure 3. Secondary EPFRs produced by different PM components excited by visible light (n = 12). (a) The average EPR spectra of the EPFRs produced by different components. (b) The contribution ratio of each component to the overall secondary EPFRs. (c) The generation rates of the secondary EPFRs of different components. The error bars represent the standard deviation.

694



Figure 4. Secondary EPFR decay curves. (a), (b), (c) and (d) The concentrations of EPFRs generated by MSOM, phenol, anthracene and pyrene over time, respectively. Curves of different colors represent different modes of variation, and all curves are fitted with a natural exponential function. The pie charts in (a) - (c) represent the proportions of fast decay (blue) and slow decay (yellow) EPFRs. The pie chart in (d) indicates the amounts of EPFRs generated during illumination (yellow) and after illumination (blue).



702

Figure 5. Average EPR spectra of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and $\cdot OH$ for different samples (n = 3). (a) and (b) The ${}^{1}O_{2}$ EPR spectra captured at 5 min and 24 h, respectively, after the sample is added to TEMP. (c) The EPR spectra of $\cdot OH$ generated in BMPO capture samples. Original and illuminated represent the filter samples that were not illuminated and were illuminated before ROS capture experiment, respectively, and WSM represents the water-soluble material in the original sample.