**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



# Characteristics of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in PM<sub>2.5</sub> emitted from different cooking activities in China

Yun-Chun Li<sup>1,2</sup> · Jia-Qian Qiu<sup>1</sup> · Man Shu<sup>1</sup> · Steven Sai Hang Ho<sup>3</sup> · Jun-Ji Cao<sup>3</sup> · Ge-Hui Wang<sup>3</sup> · Xian-Xiang Wang<sup>1</sup> · Xiao-Qing Zhao<sup>1</sup>

Received: 10 June 2017 / Accepted: 24 October 2017 / Published online: 2 December 2017 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2017

Abstract Nineteen polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in PM<sub>2.5</sub> emitted from five different cooking activities were characterized, and their influencing factors were determined. The total quantified particle-bounded PAH concentrations ( $\Sigma$ PAHs) in the airs from the cooking activities were 4.2–36.5-fold higher than those in corresponding backgrounds. The highest  $\Sigma$ PAHs were seen in cafeteria frying (783 ± 499 ng/m<sup>3</sup>), followed by meat roasting (420 ± 191 ng/m<sup>3</sup>), fish roasting (210 ± 105 ng/m<sup>3</sup>), snackstreet boiling (202 ± 230 ng/m<sup>3</sup>), and cafeteria boiling (150 ± 65 ng/m<sup>3</sup>). The main influencing factors on the PAH emissions were cooking methods, fat contents in raw materials, and oil consumptions. Four- to six-ringed PAHs had the highest contributions to the  $\Sigma$ PAHs (avg. 87.5%). Diagnostic

Yun-Chun Li and Jia-Qian Qiu contributed equally to this work.

Responsible editor: Constantini Samara

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0603-0) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Yun-Chun Li XPATH ERROR: syntax error in expression at "mail[position()=1]".

- Steven Sai Hang Ho XPATH ERROR: syntax error in expression at "mail[position()=1]".
- <sup>1</sup> College of Science, Sichuan Agricultural University, Ya'an 625014, China
- <sup>2</sup> Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Environment Monitoring and Pollution Control (AEMPC), School of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing 210044, China
- <sup>3</sup> Key Laboratory of Aerosol Science & Technology, SKLLQG, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an 710075, China

ratios of individual PAH were similar between the two charbroiling and other three conventional Chinese cooking methods, respectively, demonstrating the dominance of cooking methods in the PAH emissions. Remarkably high benzo(b)fluoranthene/benzo(k)fluoranthene (BbF/BkF) ratio (8.31) was seen in the snack-street boiling, attributed to the coal combustion as cooking fuel. Both fluoranthene/(fluoranthene + pyrene) [FLT/(FLT + PYR)] and benzo(a)anthracene/ (benzo(a)anthracene + chrysene) [BaA/(BaA + CHR)] ratios were higher for the oil-based cooking than those from the water-based ones. In addition, two ratios of indeno(1,2,3cd)pyrene/(indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene + benzo(g,h,i)perylene) [IPY/(IPY + BPE)] and benzo(a)pyrene/(benzo(a)pyrene + benzo(g,h,i)perylene) [BaP/(BaP + BPE)] were higher for two charbroiling than the three conventional Chinese cooking methods. The characterization work in this study is particularly important since cooking is a potential contributor of atmospheric PAHs in urban China. Carcinogenic potencies of PAHs were assessed by comparison with the air quality guideline and health risk estimation. The BaP and BaP equivalent were higher for the oil-based than the water-based cooking activities.

Keywords PAHs · PM<sub>2.5</sub> · Cooking fumes · Diagnostic ratios

# Introduction

Fine particulate matter (particulate matter of aerodynamic diameters of less than 2.5  $\mu$ m (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)) has adverse effect to human health with an increasing risk of morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. The particles also cause visibility deterioration in ambient environments (Harrison and Yin 2000; Pui et al. 2014; Yadav et al. 2003). The major sources of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in China include coal combustion, vehicle emission, biomass combustion, industry and road dust (Hu et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2015; Pui et al. 2014). Several studies illustrated that cooking is an important source of ambient PM2.5, particularly in populated urban areas (Allan 2009; Mohr et al. 2012; Schauer et al. 2007). Meat cooking discharged about 11.6 tons PM daily, and meat charbroiling and frying accounted for approximately 7% of the total  $PM_{25}$ masses in the atmosphere of Los Angeles, USA (Hildemann et al. 1991; Schauer et al. 2007). Cooking emission is also a significant contributor to atmospheric organic carbon (OC). The contribution of meat cooking to ambient OC in fine PM ranged from 15 to 23% (Brinkman et al. 2009; Hildemann et al. 1991; Rogge et al. 1991; Schauer et al. 1999, 2007; Watson et al. 1998). Besides, the pollutants discharged from the cooking activities have threat to human health due to their high potentials of lung cancer. The cooking oil fumes contain harmful substances such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (e.g., benzo[a]pyrene (BaP), dibenzo[a,h]anthracene (DBA), benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF), and benzo[a]anthracene (BaA)). More attentions on the cooking emissions have been thus drawn with their carcinogenic, teratogenic, and mutagenic toxicity (Chiang et al. 1997; Ko et al. 1997; Liu et al. 2009; Qu et al. 1992; See and Balasubramanian 2006; Wu et al. 1998, 1999; Zhong et al. 1999).

Generated by incomplete combustion and/or pyrosynthesis of organic materials, atmospheric PAHs and their derivatives are a large group of chemicals with two to seven fused aromatic rings. Light PAHs (with less than four aromatic rings) mainly exist in the gas phase because of high vapor pressures, while heavier PAHs present in the particle phase or scatter in the atmospheric soot. Higher carcinogenicity of a PAH was generally reported with an increasing of its molecular weight (Akyüz and Cabuk 2010; Ravindra and Grieken 2008).

Each cooking activity has distinguished emission characteristics. The PAH emissions could be affected by many factors, such as cooking methods, oil consumptions, fat contents in foods, and fuel types and temperatures (Chen and Chen 2015; Rogge et al. 1991). Rogge et al. (1991) reported that preparation of charbroiled-meat hamburger released more PAHs (avg. 2.56 mg/kg) than fried-meat hamburger (avg. 0.35 mg/kg). In addition, the amounts of PAHs released from deep frying (oil-based cooking) were 2.6 and 3.5 times of those from boiling and steaming (water-based cooking), respectively (See and Balasubramanian 2008). Yao et al. (2015) also found that deep frying generated more PAHs (including BaP) than typical frying. Similarly, frying emitted more PAHs than boiling for low-fat foods (Zhu and Wang 2003). Saito et al. (2014) and Tanaka et al. (2012) concluded that the fat contents of foods and ingredients significantly varied the PAH formations during thermal cooking processes. This could be further supported by the case that preparation of chicken nuggets and hairtails produced more PAHs than potato and eggs because of their higher fat contents (Yao et al. 2015). Cooking fuels contribute on the PAH production. For instance, electricity and natural gas combustion release less PM and PAHs than those from coal combustion and biomass burning (Amouei et al. 2017; Park et al. 2011; Ravindra and Grieken 2008; Shen et al. 2013).

Among those typical cooking methods, the Chinese styles are characterized by distinctive cooking materials, cooking techniques and flavors, and seasoning. The amounts of PAH emissions were much less from Western fast food cooking than the Chinese cooking. Chrysene (CHR) and pyrene (PYR) were the two major PAH components in Westernstyle cooking emissions, while PYR, fluoranthene (FLT), and benzo(g,h,i)perylene (BPE) were the dominant species from the Chinese cooking (Zhao et al. 2007). CHR was the most important PAH in meat charbroiling (Rogge et al. 1991), and PYR had the highest contribution in Chinese cooking (He et al. 2004). Even though many researches have been conducted on the PM emissions from Chinese cooking, significant variations might exist due to variable operation conditions. The main objectives of this study are to investigate the PAH emission characteristics in PM2.5 generated from five common local cooking activities and determine their influence factors. The results could offer scientific data on the cooking emissions, which are informative for establishing effective strategies for air quality control and health protection in China.

### **Experimental section**

### Sample collection

PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples generated from five cooking activities were collected in Yucheng City, Ya'an, China from August to mid-September 2013. Detailed sampling information was reported in our previous study (Li et al. 2015) and shown in Table 1. Briefly, the sampling events were conducted on a commercial street (Xinkang Street) and at Sichuan Agricultural University (SAU). Two background sites were selected for comparison. A street background site was assigned on Xinkang Street, where it is close to a barbeque restaurant and the Wanzhou grill fish restaurant. A campus background site was set up on the roof of an 11-story teaching building, which is about 300 m away from the cafeteria and snack street on the campus. PM2.5 was collected onto quartz fiber filters (90 mm diameter, Staplex, USA), pre-baked at 500 °C for 8 h, with a KC-120H medium-volume sampler (Qingdao Laoshan Electronic Instrument Factory Co., Ltd., China) operated at a flow rate of 100 L/min. The filter holders and open ends were cleaned with deionized water after each round of sampling. The sample-loaded filters were properly stored in a refrigerator at -20 °C. All samples were collected during business hours for 2-4 h, subjected to the flow of

Category	Outdoor charbroiling		Conventional Chinese cooking			
	Meat roasting	Fish roasting	Snack-street boiling	Cafeteria frying	Cafeteria boiling	
Scale	Small-scale (0 ~ 50 persons)	Small-scale (0 ~ 50 persons)	Middle-scale (100 ~ 300 persons)	Large-scale (> 500 persons)	Large-scale (> 500 persons)	
Sampling place	1.5 m apart from the grills in a barbeque restaurant in Xinkang Street	1.5 m apart from the grills in Wanzhou grill fish restaurant in Xinkang Street	Dining area in a noodle restaurant in snack street of SAU	1.0 m apart from the chimney outlet from a frying cafeteria in SAU	1.0 m apart from the chimney outlet from a boiling cafeteria in SAU	
Sampling period	21:30-01:30	17:00-21:00	11:00-14:00, 16:00-20:00	10:30–13:00, 16:00–18:30	10:30–13:00, 16:00–18:30	
Fuel type	Charcoal	Charcoal	Natural gas, coal	Natural gas	Natural gas	
Cooking style	Roasting	Roasting	Boiling, frying (around the sampling place)	Frying (pan frying, stir frying, deep frying)	Boiling	
Ingredient and property	Mutton, chicken, pork, beef, seafood, vegetables, 5-spice powder; more oil based	Fish, much salt; less oil based	flour (noodles, wontons), water based	Meat, vegetables, 5-spice powder; more oil based	Vegetables, flour (noodles,wontons), water based	

 Table 1
 Sampling information for the five cooking activities

diners. The background samples were obtained for 4 h when no cooking activity was conducted. Four field blanks were simultaneously collected as well. A total of valid 44 filter samples were collected in this study.

## PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass, OC, and EC analyses

 $PM_{2.5}$  mass was obtained from the weight difference of a filter sample prior to and after sampling. Before weighting, the filters were equilibrated in a controlled chamber (temperature, 30 °C; relative humidity, 30–60%) for 24 h.

A filter punch of 0.526 cm<sup>2</sup> in size from each filter was obtained for elemental carbon (EC) and OC analyses using a DRI Model 2001 Thermal/Optical Carbon Analyzer (Atmoslytic Inc., Calabasas, CA, USA). The quartz filter was analyzed for four OC and three EC fractions following the IMPROVE\_A thermal/optical reflectance protocol (Chow et al. 1993). The analyzer was calibrated with known quantities of CH<sub>4</sub> daily. Detailed information on carbon analysis and required quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) were documented in Cao et al. (2003).

## **PAH** analysis

Nineteen target PAHs were analyzed by thermal desorptiongas chromatography-mass spectrometry (TD-GC-MS) using an Agilent 7890A/5975C system (Ho and Yu 2004; Ho et al. 2008, 2011) (Table 2). Punches of quartz-fiber filter samples (0.526 or  $1.052 \text{ cm}^2$ ) were spiked with internal standards (*n*- C<sub>24</sub>D<sub>50</sub> and Phe-d<sub>10</sub>). Each filter piece was placed into the Pyrex glass TD tube (78 mm long, 4 mm i.d., and 6 mm o.d.). Pre-baked glass wool was used as a plug to hold the filter parts in position. The sample loaded tube was exchanged into the GC injector port when its temperature was lower to 50 °C for analysis, and then manually raised to 275 °C for desorption in a splitless mode after the injector cap was closed. An HP-5ms capillary column (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm  $\times$  0.25  $\mu$ m, J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) was used to separate the analytes. The temperature program for the GC oven was as follows: initially held at 30 °C for 2 min, ramped to 120 °C at 10 °C/min, then ramped to 310 °C at 8 °C/min, and finally held at 310 °C for 20 min. A constant carrier gas (helium) flow was maintained at 1.0 mL/min. The MS was operated in scan mode from 50 to 650 amu. The ion source temperature was 230 °C, and a voltage of 70 eV was applied for the electron impact ionization.

#### Data analysis

Several PAH are known as human carcinogens. BaP is of primary concern because of the highest carcinogenicity. The concentration of BaP could be used to assess toxicity. In addition to BaP, high molecular mass PAHs, such as BaA, BbF, BkF, IPY, and DBA have high carcinogenicity. Therefore, BaP equivalent carcinogenic power (BaPE), based on carcinogenic potency relative to BaP, was also used to estimate the exposure risks for the six PAHs with an equation shown below (Liu et al. 2009; Yassaa et al. 2001):

Species	Meat roasting $N = 7$	Fish roasting $N = 7$	Street background	Snack-street boiling $N = 6$	Cafeteria $f_{\rm ruing} N = 2$	Cafeteria boiling $N = 0$	Campus
	1 <b>v</b> = 7	1 <b>v</b> = 7	N = 6	bolding $N = 0$	If ying $N = 3$	bolling $N = 9$	N = 6
Mass (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )							
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	$1107\pm340^a$	$493\pm176$	$152\pm23$	$329\pm68$	$992\pm192$	$257\pm29$	$104\pm17$
OC	$655\pm250$	$185\pm84$	$14.7\pm2.4$	$42.8\pm 6.8$	$523\pm88$	$66.7\pm22.7$	$10.7\pm5.8$
EC	$12.0\pm2.4$	$11.3\pm4.8$	$2.18\pm0.44$	$2.61\pm0.90$	$9.93 \pm 2.35$	$7.17 \pm 1.44$	$1.90 \pm 1.57$
PAHs (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )							
Acenaphthene (ACE) <sup>b</sup>	ND <sup>c</sup>	ND	ND	ND	$77.2\pm40.0$	ND-244.5 <sup>d</sup>	ND
Fluorene (FLU)	ND	ND-2.1	ND	ND	$12.3\pm5.5$	ND-1.0	ND
Phenanthrene (PHE)	ND-18.2	ND	ND	ND	$38.9\pm34.2$	ND	ND
Anthracene (ANT)	$13.5\pm8.7$	$0.9\pm0.4$	ND-0.5	ND	$2.5\pm2.0$	$1.1\pm2.7$	ND-1.5
Fluoranthene (FLT)	$53.6\pm33.6$	$15.3\pm10.6$	ND-1.3	ND	$74.7\pm46.2$	$4.4\pm3.6$	$2.1\pm2.6$
Pyrene (PYR)	$51.9\pm32.1$	$14.5\pm11.5$	ND-1.1	ND-0.5	$159\pm126$	$7.5\pm4.9$	$2.2\pm3.0$
Benzo(a)anthracene (BaA)	$31.3\pm13.1$	$17.1\pm9.3$	ND-1.0	$6.8\pm9.4$	$13.4\pm5.7$	$4.6\pm5.2$	$1.0\pm1.3$
Chrysene (CHR)	$37.7 \pm 13.9$	$26.7\pm17.6$	ND-0.8	$15.0\pm20.3$	$15.2\pm4.7$	$4.0\pm1.1$	$2.4\pm1.9$
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (BbF)	$17.6\pm9.9$	$18.5\pm8.1$	$2.7\pm1.3$	$28.3\pm25.2$	$18.1\pm6.9$	$9.9\pm2.4$	$5.6\pm3.2$
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (BkF)	$36.9 \pm 14.1$	$22.1\pm13.7$	$2.1\pm0.6$	$6.4\pm8.8$	$13.2\pm5.6$	$10.1\pm7.2$	$4.3\pm2.6$
Benzo(a)fluoranthene (BaF)	$35.3\pm14.1$	$5.7\pm1.2$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$1.6\pm1.7$	$3.3\pm 0.4$	$5.9\pm7.7$	$2.3\pm0.7$
Benzo(e)pyrene (BeP)	$30.6\pm10.7$	$22.4\pm11.2$	$2.1\pm1.2$	$69.0\pm94.3$	$44.9\pm34.7$	$18.4\pm7.7$	$5.3\pm4.4$
Benzo(a)pyrene (BaP)	$25.8\pm10.6$	$12.2\pm4.9$	$1.2\pm0.6$	$5.8\pm7.8$	$24.7\pm22.9$	$7.3\pm4.6$	$2.9\pm2.8$
Perylene (PYL)	$23.2\pm9.1$	$5.1\pm0.8$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$1.6\pm1.7$	$5.5\pm5.0$	$4.5\pm5.1$	$0.9\pm0.5$
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (DBA)	$8.9\pm3.3$	$2.4\pm0.6$	ND	$10.3\pm15.3$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$1.7\pm2.4$	ND-0.5
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (IPY)	$24.1\pm9.2$	$11.9\pm5.5$	$0.6\pm0.4$	$4.4\pm5.6$	$11.2\pm7.3$	$7.1\pm5.2$	$1.7\pm1.9$
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene (BPE)	$11.5\pm4.1$	$21.9\pm13.8$	$1.0 \pm 1.1$	$28.4\pm34.2$	$172\pm171$	$40.1\pm33.6$	$3.9\pm4.7$
Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene (DBP)	$9.4\pm3.5$	$3.5\pm1.4$	ND	$15.0\pm21.5$	$4.1\pm4.8$	$1.7\pm2.0$	ND-0.3
Coronene (COR)	$9.0\pm3.4$	$9.7\pm5.6$	ND-0.5	$10.0\pm10.8$	$92.5\pm90.7$	$21.9\pm16.8$	$1.0\pm1.4$
$\Sigma$ PAHs	$420\pm191$	$210\pm105$	11.5 ± 5.3	$202\pm230$	$783\pm499$	$150\pm65$	$35.6\pm29.5$

#### Table 2 Mass concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, OC, EC, and 19 PAHs

 $^a$  Means average concentration  $\pm$  one standard deviation

<sup>b</sup> The abbreviation of individual PAH compound is shown in the bracket

<sup>c</sup> ND represents below detection limit and/or below field blank

<sup>d</sup> Only range was reported for > 50% of data below detection limit and/or below field blank. Their average and standard deviation are not calculated

BaPE = 0.06 BaA + 0.07 B[b+k]F + BaP + 0.6 DBA + 0.08 IPY (1)

where both PAH concentrations and calculated units are in nanograms per cubic meter. Besides, the carcinogenic potency of total quantified PAHs can be calculated by toxic equivalent factor (TEF). TEF represents the relative carcinogenic potency of given PAH compound, which use BaP as a reference to adjust its original concentration (Barbosa et al. 2006; Li et al. 2003; Liu et al. 2009; Yao et al. 2015). In this study, TEF list provided by Nisbet and LaGoy (1992) (Table S1, Table S# denotes materials provided as supporting information) was used which reflects well the actual knowledge of the toxic potency of each individual PAH compound. The BaP toxic equivalent quantity (TEQ) of all considered PAHs could be calculated:

$$TEQ = \sum C_{x,TEQ} = \sum C_x \times F_x$$
(2)

where  $C_{x, \text{TEQ}}$  is the TEQ concentration (ng/m<sup>3</sup>) of component x, which is normalized using the toxicity of BaP,  $C_x$  is the original concentration (ng/m<sup>3</sup>) of component x, and  $F_x$  is the TEF of component x. Hereby, the carcinogenic potency of total PAHs can be assessed by TEQ.

## **Results and discussion**

## PM<sub>2.5</sub> and its components from different cooking activities

Table 2 shows the mass concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$ , OC, EC, and target PAHs in both cooking activities and background

samples. The PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations of the cooking samples were 2.5-9.6 times higher than those of corresponding backgrounds. The five cooking activities can be divided into two groups, namely, outdoor charbroiling (meat roasting and fish roasting) and conventional Chinese cooking (cafeteria frying, snack-street boiling, and cafeteria boiling), based on the fuel types and cooking methods (Li et al. 2015). Generally, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration from the outdoor charbroiling (avg.  $800 \pm 425 \ \mu g/m^3$ ) was much higher than that of conventional Chinese cooking (avg.  $403 \pm 288 \ \mu g/m^3$ ). The highest OC level was found in meat roasting  $(655 \pm 250 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3)$ , followed by cafeteria frying  $(523 \pm 88 \ \mu g/m^3)$ , fish roasting  $(185 \pm 84 \ \mu\text{g/m}^3)$ , cafeteria boiling  $(66.7 \pm 22.7 \ \mu\text{g/m}^3)$ , and snack-street boiling ( $42.8 \pm 6.8 \ \mu g/m^3$ ). The trend was consistent with the previous findings that the factors such as cooking method, oil consumption, fat content, and fuel type, could greatly impact on the emissions (Amouei et al. 2017; Li et al. 2015; See and Balasubramanian 2006; Wang et al. 2017).

Different from the trend of OC, the highest total quantified particle-bounded PAH concentrations ( $\Sigma$ PAHs) was obtained in cafeteria frying  $(783 \pm 499 \text{ ng/m}^3)$ , followed by meat roasting  $(420 \pm 191 \text{ ng/m}^3)$ , fish roasting  $(210 \pm 105 \text{ ng/m}^3)$ , snack-street boiling  $(202 \pm 230 \text{ ng/m}^3)$ , and cafeteria boiling  $(150 \pm 65 \text{ ng/m}^3)$  (Table 2). On a whole, the oil-based cooking methods and higher fat contents of foods and ingredients produced more PAHs. Although meat roasting had the largest emissions of PM2.5 and OC, its SPAHs were lower than that produced from cafeteria frying. This could be ascribed to the cooking method and water content in foods. Charbroiling directly heated the meats over burnt charcoal, while the conventional Chinese cooking prepared the foods in boilers or on pans over stoves. During charbroiling, the fats and oils fell onto the hot charcoals and were pyrolyzed. This can lead to formation of PAHs, which were then volatilized and partly redeposited on the meat surfaces (Rogge et al. 1991). Furthermore, it was reported that charcoal-grilled meats contained the highest PAHs (Farhadian et al. 2010) which might absorb the discharged particles to some extent. In addition, the existing water in foods and ingredients could generally facilitate to produce more PAHs during cooking processes (Buonanno et al. 2011). Cafeteria frying activities not only consumed large amounts of oils, but also involved much water than roasting or charbroiling of meats. The  $\Sigma$ PAHs from other three cooking activities were much lower, ranged from  $150 \pm 65$  to  $210 \pm 105$  ng/m<sup>3</sup>. In addition, the  $\Sigma$ PAHs from five cooking activities were 4.2–36.5-fold higher than those in the corresponding backgrounds, demonstrating that the cooking emission had a great contribution to PAHs.

Discriminating the two roasting cooking methods, the  $\Sigma$ PAHs from the meat roasting (420 ± 191 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) was approximately 2-fold of the fish roasting (210 ± 105 ng/m<sup>3</sup>). This evidenced that the fat contents of foods and ingredients

was a critical factor. Rogge et al. (1991) observed that the meats have abundant unsaturated and saturated fatty acids, which could release organic compounds thru oxidation, decarboxylation, fragmentation, recombination, rearrangement, condensation, and cyclization reactions during thermal cooking processes. Due to higher fat content than fish, the meats generate more organic components including PAHs in charbroiling (Mcdonald et al. 2003). Our results are consistent with those of previous studies, concluding that the fat contents can induce a significant impact on PAH formation (Saito et al. 2014; Tanaka et al. 2012; Yao et al. 2015). Oil consumption is the next dominating factor. Due to larger amounts of oil and seasoning consumed than the fish roasting, the meat roasting emitted more PAHs. The effect of food size could not be ignored as well. The charbroiled meat was cut into small pieces, while the roasted fish was typically integrated. The small pieces promoted the surface areas where more oils could be coated. This advanced more fats exposed and temperature raised in the cooking (Li et al. 2015).

The PAHs emitted from the cafeteria frying was  $\sim 5.2$  and 3.9 times higher than those of cafeteria and snack-street boiling, respectively. This is consistent with the results reported by See and Balasubramanian (2008), which frying (oil-based) generated more PAHs than boiling and steaming (waterbased). In another study, See and Balasubramanian (2006) also found that deep frying at the Malay stall generated the highest concentration of PAHs, while the lowest was seen for boiling at the Indian stall. Compared with the water-based cooking, oil-based generally releases more PAHs due to direct evaporation, oxidation, pyrolysis, and/or degradation of organic compounds from oils at a higher temperature (Abdullahi et al. 2013; Moret and Conte 2000). When heating temperatures were above 200-300 °C, the lipids could be more liable to degrade and potentially form more aromatics (Wasserman 1972). Although the cafeteria and snack-street boiling applied the same cooking method, the latter had higher  $\Sigma$ PAHs. The cooking fuel of coal, bad ventilation, and other frying and boiling activities along the snack street are the possible influence factors.

#### Characterization of PAHs in different cooking activities

Figure 1 shows the mass compositions of individual PAH in five different cooking activities and two backgrounds. CHR, FLT, BkF, PYR, BeP, BaA, BPE, BbF, and BaP are the main PAHs released from two charbroiling activities, having an average contribution of 10.8, 10.0, 9.7, 9.6, 9.0, 7.8, 6.6, 6.5, and 6.0% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs, respectively. These nine compounds totally accounted for 70.6 and 81.3% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs for the meat roasting and fish roasting, respectively. It is noticeable that CHR had the largest composition, consistent with the results reported by Rogge et al. (1991) and Schauer et al. (1999). However, different compositions were seen for conventional



Fig. 1 Individual PAH profiles for the five cooking activities and two backgrounds

boiling in which BeP, BPE, BbF, and COR are the four dominated PAHs, averagely accounting for 23.2, 20.4, 10.3, and 9.8% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs, respectively. These components represented 67.0 and 60.1% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs for the snack-street boiling and cafeteria boiling, respectively. It is outstanding that BeP was extremely abundant in the snack-street boiling, attributed to coal used as a cooking fuel. BeP is a source marker of coal combustion (Larsen and Baker 2003). The five top PAHs from cafeteria frying were BPE, PYR, COR, ACE, and FLT, accounting for 22, 20.3, 11.8, 9.9, and 9.5% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs, respectively. It was found that ACE was merely detected from cafeteria frying  $(77.2 \pm 40.0 \text{ ng/m}^3)$ , that could be explained by its rapid formation during frying under higher temperature and then condensation into the particle through the long chimney before the oil fumes were collected. In addition, Zhao et al. (2007) and He et al. (2004) also reported that PYR was the most component emitted from Chinese cooking. Both observations reveal that the constituents of PAHs could be greatly varied by different cooking activities.

On average, the six PAHs were detected in the two backgrounds, including BbF(19.7%), BeP (16.6%), BkF (15.1%), BPE (9.9%), BaP (9.1%), and BaF (9.0%). The sum of these compounds in totally accounted for 90.6 and 68.3% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs for the street and campus backgrounds, respectively. Among them, BbF had the largest proportion, possibly impacted by diesel emission from surrounding traffics to some degree (Park et al. 2011). Moreover, ACE, FLU, and PHE were undetectable in the backgrounds because of their low partitioning in particulate phase (Akyüz and Cabuk 2010).

#### Distributions of PAHs with aromatic ring numbers

Considering that the toxicity of a PAH ascends with an increase of molecular weight, the PAHs were thus classified



Fig. 2 Mass compositions of PAHs in terms of number of aromatic rings

with the numbers of aromatic rings (Li et al. 2003). Figure 2 illustrates the distribution of PAHs with the ring numbers from five cooking activities and two backgrounds. The total contributions of three-ringed PAHs in cafeteria frying  $(21.9 \pm 13.4\%)$ of  $\Sigma$ PAHs) were higher than those of other cooking activities (< 3.1% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs). In addition, the four-ringed PAHs is the major proportion for both meat roasting, fish roasting and cafeteria frying, which were accounted for  $31.8 \sim 40.3\%$  of  $\Sigma$ PAHs, much higher than the two water-based cooking activities of snack-street and cafeteria boiling of  $10.2 \sim 14.2\%$ . Moreover, the five-ringed PAHs were the dominated group among the cooking activities (40.0 ~ 63.8% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs) except cafeteria frying (14.5  $\pm$  3.1% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs). The six-ringed PAHs emitted from three conventional Chinese cooking  $(21.2 \sim 31.0\% \text{ of } \Sigma \text{PAHs})$  were higher than those from two outdoor charbroiling (11.1 ~ 17.6% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs). For the seven-ringed PAHs, much higher contributions were seen for cafeteria frying and cafeteria boiling (avg. 12.4% of  $\Sigma$ PAHs), in comparison with only  $2.2 \sim 4.9\%$  of  $\Sigma$ PAHs for the rest of the cooking activities. It should be noticeable that five-ringed PAHs  $(69.9 \sim 88.4\%)$  were abundant for the two backgrounds, suggesting that besides certain amount of primary sources, secondary formation of PAHs from photolysis and/or oxidation by reacting with hydroxyl radicals, ozone, nitrogen oxides, or other strong oxidizing agents in the atmosphere should not be underestimated (Marr et al. 2005). Similarly, the highest contribution of five-ringed PAHs ( $63.8 \pm 3.7\%$ ) for snack-street boiling could be explained by the combustion of materials and fuels at an open environment. In addition, four-, five-, and six-ringed PAHs totally accounted for  $67.6 \sim 95.1\%$ (avg. 87.5%) of  $\Sigma$ PAHs among the five cooking activities, consistent with the observations from a recent research conducted by Zhang et al. (2016).

PAHs are classified into three groups for further characterization: low molecular weight (LM-PAHs, with three aromatic rings), moderate molecular weight (MM-PAHs, with four aromatic rings), and high molecular weight (HM-PAHs, with five to seven aromatic rings) (Chen et al. 2012). Figure 3 illustrates their distributions of the cooking activities samples. In this study, the percentage of LM-PAHs was 5.2% on average for all cooking activities, attributed to their high vapor pressure and most distribution in gas phase. HM-PAHs accounted for the largest proportion of  $\Sigma$ PAHs (avg. 69.2%). The two charbroiling and three conventional Chinese cooking had a contribution of 62.2 and 73.8% on average, respectively. Similar results were reported by Saito et al. (2014), which concluded that HM-PAHs were the most abundant proportion in the cooking exhaust. The HM-PAHs could not be completely decomposed or destroyed since those cooking temperatures were comparatively low. At a higher operation temperature such as in coal-fired power plants and heating/industrial boilers, the conditions facilitate for LM-PAH formation (Zhang et al. 2016). Considering that various sources of PAHs in the environments, it should be cautious to apportion the pollution origins using the ring number distribution.

#### **Diagnostic ratios of PAHs**

Diagnostic ratios of PAHs isomers serve as important tools for the identification of pollution sources (Tobiszewski and Namieśnik 2012; Yunker et al. 2002). Considering that PAHs may change from sources to receptors, it is better to use similar physico-chemical properties of PAH isomers to reduce the bias (Ravindra and Grieken 2008; Yao et al. 2015). In this study, > 50% of data were undetectable and/or below field blank for few PAHs (e.g., ACE, FLU, PHE, and ANT) (Table S2), their related ratios were excluded. As a result, five widely used diagnostic ratios, including BaA/ (BaA + CHR), IPY/(IPY + BPE), BbF/BkF, FLT/(FLT + PYR), and BaP/(BaP + BPE), were investigated (Abdullahi et al. 2013; Shen et al. 2013; Yao et al. 2015).



Fig. 3 Distribution of LM-PAHs, MM-PAHs, and HM-PAHs in the cooking activities and background samples

Table 3 compares the diagnostic ratios for the five cooking activities in this study and other sources in literatures. Close values were observed between the two charbroiling, and most of the ratios were similar among the three conventional Chinese cooking, except BbF/BkF. The BbF/BkF ratio for snack-street boiling was as high as 8.31, which was 5.9 and 7.1 times those for cafeteria boiling and frying, respectively. Such high value of BbF/BkF ratio could be ascribed to the coal combustion used as cooking fuel in snack street, which was also close to the value of 8.08 reported by Zhang et al. (2008).

The FLT/(FLT + PYR) ratios for meat roasting, fish roasting, and cafeteria frying ranged from 0.51 to 0.57, much higher than that of cafeteria boiling (0.37), ascribed to their large oil consumption. However, See and Balasubramanian (2008) found the FLT/(FLT + PYR) ratios for frying and boiling tofu were very similar. Other factors including fat contents, ingredients, and cooking fuels and temperatures could also contribute to the PAH emissions. In addition, FLT/(FLT + PYR) ratios for meat and fish roasting in this study were close to the charcoal combustion (0.49) (Sepetdjian et al. 2010), supporting the influences from cooking fuels.

On the other hand, slightly higher BaA/(BaA + CHR) ratios were found for the oil-based than water-based cooking activities in this study. The values were also high for cooking with Chinese oil (0.62) and rape seed oil (0.89) (Li et al. 2003; Zhu and Wang 2003). The ratio of BaA/(BaA + CHR) thus acts a good indicator for the influences of cooking oil.

For the ratios of IPY/(IPY + BPE) and BaP/(BaP + BPE), two charbroiling had much higher values (in the range of  $0.39 \sim 0.68$  and  $0.39 \sim 0.69$ , respectively) in comparison with the three conventional Chinese cooking (in the range of  $0.08 \sim 0.21$  and  $0.13 \sim 0.22$ , respectively). The values of IPY/(BPE + IPY) and BaP/(BaP + BPE) ratios were 0.55 and 0.53, respectively, for charcoal combustion (Sepetdjian et al. 2010), suggesting that these two diagnostic ratios efficiently appointed to the contributions of charcoal combustion.

In the background samples, the IPY/(IPY + BPE) and BaP/ (BaP + BPE) ratios were close to the corresponding values of meat roasting, rice straw, and charcoal combustion, while the BbF/BkF ratios were similar with those of rice straw and diesel emission (Table 3). These observations prove that meat roasting was reasonably the largest contributor of PAHs, considering that charbroiling is one of the most popular dining in urban Yucheng. In addition, biomass burning and diesel exhaust also had significant impacts on atmospheric PAHs. Our interpretations with multiple diagnostic ratios could reduce bias to discriminate the potential pollution sources.

#### **Toxicity evaluation**

Figure 4 illustrates the concentrations of BaP, BaPE, and the calculated TEQ. The meat roasting had the highest

Table 3	Diagnostic ratios	s of PAHs from	cooking activi	ities and other	r sources
			<u> </u>		

	FLT/(FLT + PYR)	IPY/(IPY + BPE)	BaA/(BaA + CHR)	BaP/(BaP + BPE)	BbF/BkF	Reference
Cooking activities						
Meat roasting Fish roasting	0.51 0.57	0.68 0.39	0.45 0.43	0.69 0.39	0.45 0.95	This study
Snack-street boiling	a	0.13	0.31	0.18	8.31	
Cafeteria frying	0.52	0.08	0.46	0.13	1.41	
Cafeteria boiling	0.37	0.21	0.41	0.22	1.17	
Campus background	a	0.53	0.18	0.60	1.30	
Street background	a	0.59	a	0.69	1.26	
Cooking in other studies						
Western cooking Chinese cooking	0.46 0.50	0.63 0.63	0.38 0.62	0.71 0.43	0.93 1.07	Li et al. (2003)
Boiling	0.52	0.52	0.30	0.23	1.89	See and Balasubramanian
Charbroiling meat hamburger	0.32	0.38	0.23	0.44	0.78	Rogge et al. (1991), McDonald et al. (2003)
Seed oil	0.46	b	0.89	_b	_b	Zhu and Wang (2003)
Other sources						
Coal	0.37	0.37	0.27	0.40	8.08	Zhang et al. (2008)
Rice straw	0.51	0.50	0.46	0.67	1.00	Sheesley et al. (2003)
Wood burning	0.43	0.19	0.21	0.56	0.91	Rogge et al. (1998)
Noncatalyst gasoline	0.61	0.04	0.57	0.23	0.93	Rogge et al. (1993)
Diesel	0.37	0.00	0.27	0.45	1.07	Rogge et al. (1993)
Charcoal	0.49	0.55	_b	0.53	_b	Sepetdjian et al. (2010)
Natural gas	0.51	_b	0.17	_b	0.72	Rogge et al. (1993)

<sup>a</sup> No ratio was calculated because > 50% of the data were undetectable and/or below field blank

<sup>b</sup> Blank space means no data available

carcinogenic potencies shown by all three indexes. BaP is the sole PAH listed in the air quality standards in China with a daily average limit of 10 ng/m<sup>3</sup> for ambient airs. The BaP concentrations of the five cooking activities were meat



Fig. 4 Concentrations of BaP and calculated BaPE and TEQ

roasting > cafeteria frying > fish roasting > cafeteria boiling > snack-street boiling in a descending order. It is obvious that the average concentrations of BaP for the three oil-based cooking activities were  $1.2 \sim 2.6$  times exceeded the Chinese ambient daily limit, while the values for the water-based cooking activities and backgrounds were well below the guideline. Hence, the significance of BaP emission from cooking activities should not be under-estimated, particularly for cooking involved large amounts of oils.

The trend of BaPE was similar with that of BaP, except higher value was seen for snack-street boiling than cafeteria boiling. The stall of snack-street boiling was next to the emissions from other frying and sautéing activities, which used coal as cooking fuel. Lower BaPE was also obtained for water-based than oil-based cooking activities, supporting that more formation of BaP, DBA, BbF, and BaA during oil thermal cooking (Chiang et al. 1999; Li et al. 1994).

For TEQ, the meat roasting had the highest value as well, followed by the snack-street boiling. The high TEQ for the snack-street boiling could be ascribed to the contribution of DBA (83.0% of TEQ), which had the highest TEF of 5.0 and

concentration of 15.0  $\pm$  21.5  $ng/m^3$  among the cooking activities.

The above three indexes merely refer to the toxicity of PAHs but cannot fully represent the toxicities of PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Apart from PAHs, other organic and inorganic compounds (e.g., sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and heavy metals) in PM<sub>2.5</sub> could also induce inflammation, oxidative stress, genetic toxicity, mutagenicity and carcinogenicity effects (Li et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2017). Zhang et al. (2017) reported that the integrated risk of heavy metals was three orders of magnitude higher than those of PAHs from cooking sources, demonstrating that the toxicities for heavy metals should not be ignored. Furthermore, possible synergistic effect among PAHs and heavy metals might enhance the toxicity of PM<sub>2.5</sub> (e.g., damage the double helix structure of DNA), which is worth to be further investigated. Besides, nitrated and oxygenated PAHs could be formed from parent PAHs thru homogeneous and/or heterogeneous photooxidation reactions with atmospheric oxidants, photolysis, and thermal conversions. Some of these PAH derivatives are more toxic than parent PAHs (Alves et al. 2017). The toxicity of PM<sub>2.5</sub> from cooking source is probably magnified.

## Conclusions

Both cooking method, fat and water contents in raw materials, oil consumption and cooking fuels could greatly contribute on the PAH formations in PM<sub>2.5</sub> from different cooking activities. Diagnostic ratios of isomer PAHs are useful tools to characterize the cooking sources. Meat roasting had the highest carcinogenic potencies indexed by the concentrations of BaP and values of BaPE and TEQ. In addition, oil-based cooking activities had higher BaP and BaPE indexes than water-based ones. Based on the results from this study, the significance of emission from roasting should not be overlooked. It is crucial to establish proper emission controls to regulate commercial roasting activities, which is one of the popular local dining in China. While the toxicity of PM<sub>2.5</sub> from cooking activities could be magnified by PAH derivatives and potential synergistic effects from other components in PM2.5, further investigation works are needed.

**Acknowledgments** We thank Ting Zhang, Jing-Jing Meng, Sui-Xin Liu, and Rong Zhang for their great helps with the chemical analyses and the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

**Funding information** This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (41205095) of China, foundation of Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Environment Monitoring and Pollution Control (KHK1407), the Two-Way Support Programs of Sichuan Agricultural University (03570848), and National Undergraduate Training Program for Innovation and Entrepreneurship (201510626043).

# References

- Abdullahi KL, Delgado-Saborit JM, Harrison RM (2013) Emissions and indoor concentrations of particulate matter and its specific chemical components from cooking: a review. Atmos Environ 71:260–294
- Akyüz M, Cabuk H (2010) Gas-particle partitioning and seasonal variation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the atmosphere of Zonguldak, Turkey. Sci Total Environ 408:5550–5558
- Allan JD (2009) Contributions from transport, solid fuel burning and cooking to primary organic aerosols in two UK cities. Atmos Chem Phys 9:749–767
- Alves CA, Vicente AM, Custódio D, Cerqueira M, Nunes T, Pio C, Lucarelli F, Calzolai G, Nava S, Diapouli E, Eleftheriadis K, Querol X, Musa Bandowe BA (2017) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and their derivatives (nitro-PAHs, oxygenated PAHs, and azaarenes) in PM<sub>2.5</sub> from southern European cities. Sci Total Environ 595:494–504
- Amouei TM, Gorjinezhad S, Unluevcek HS, Hopke PK (2017) Review of factors impacting emission/concentration of cooking generated particulate matter. Sci Total Environ 586:1046–1056
- Barbosa JM, Ré-Poppi N, Santiago-Silva M (2006) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from wood pyrolysis in charcoal production furnaces. Environ Res 101:304–311
- Brinkman GL, Schauer MJJ, Shafer MM, Hannigan MP (2009) Source identification of personal exposure to fine particulate matter using organic tracers. Atmos Environ 43:1972–1981
- Buonanno G, Johnson G, Morawska L, Stabile L (2011) Volatility characterization of cooking-generated aerosol particles. Aerosol Sci Technol 45:1069–1077
- Cao JJ, Lee SC, Ho KF, Zhang XY, Zou SC, Fung K, Chow JC, Watson JG (2003) Characteristics of carbonaceous aerosol in Pearl River Delta region, China during 2001 winter period. Atmos Environ 37: 1451–1460
- Chen BH, Chen YC (2015) Formation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the smoke from heated model lipids and food lipids. J Agr Food Chem 49:5238–5243
- Chen JW, Wang SL, Hsieh DP, Yang HH, Lee HL (2012) Carcinogenic potencies of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons for back-door neighbors of restaurants with cooking emissions. Sci Total Environ, s 417–418:68–75
- Chiang TA, Wu PF, Wang LF, Lee H, Lee CH, Ko YC (1997) Mutagenicity and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon content of fumes from heated cooking oils produced in Taiwan. Mutat Res-Fund Mol M 381:157–161
- Chiang TA, Wu PF, Ko YC (1999) Identification of carcinogens in cooking oil fumes. Environ Res 81:18–22
- Chow JC, Watson JG, Pritchett LC, Pierson WR, Frazier CA, Purcell RG (1993) The DRI thermal/optical reflectance carbon analysis system: description, evaluation and applications in US air quality studies. Atmos Environ Part A General Topics 27:1185–1201
- Farhadian A, Jinap S, Abas F, Sakar ZI (2010) Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in grilled meat. Food Control 21:606– 610
- Harrison RM, Yin J (2000) Particulate matter in the atmosphere: which particle properties are important for its effects on health? Sci Total Environ 249:85–101
- He LY, Hu M, Huang XF, BD Y, Zhang YH, Liu DQ (2004) Measurement of emissions of fine particulate organic matter from Chinese cooking. Atmos Environ 38:6557–6564
- Hildemann LM, Markowski GR, Michael CJ, Glen RC (1991) Submicrometer aerosol mass distributions of emissions from boilers, fireplaces, automobiles, diesel trucks, and meat-cooking operations. Aerosol Sci Technol 14:138–152
- Ho SS, Yu JZ (2004) In-injection port thermal desorption and subsequent gas chromatography-mass spectrometric analysis of polycyclic

aromatic hydrocarbons and n-alkanes in atmospheric aerosol samples. J Chromatogr A 1059:121-129

- Ho SS, Yu JZ, Chow JC, Zielinska B, Watson JG, Sit EHL, Schauer JJ (2008) Evaluation of an in-injection port thermal desorption-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry method for analysis of nonpolar organic compounds in ambient aerosol samples. J Chromatogr A 1200:217–227
- Ho SS, Yu JZ, Chow JC, Zielinska B, Watson JG, Sit EHL, Schauer JJ (2011) Precautions for in-injection port thermal desorption-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (TD-GC/MS) as applied to aerosol filter samples. Atmos Environ 45:1491–1496
- Hu H, Yang Q, Lu X, Wang WC, Wang SS, Fan MH (2010) Air pollution and control in different areas of China. Crit Rev Env Sci Tec 40: 452–518
- Ko YC, Lee CH, Chen MJ, Huang CC, Chang WY, Lin HJ, Wang HZ, Chang PY (1997) Risk factors for primary lung cancer among nonsmoking women in Taiwan. Int J Epidemiol 26:24–31
- Larsen RK, Baker JE (2003) Source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the urban atmosphere: a comparison of three methods. Environ Sci Technol 37:1873–1881
- Li CT, Lin YC, Lee WJ, Tsai PJ (2003) Emission of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and their carcinogenic potencies from cooking sources to the urban atmosphere. Environ Health Persp 111:483– 487
- Li S, Pan D, Wang G (1994) Analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in cooking oil fumes. Arch Environ Health 49:119–122
- Li YC, Shu M, Ho SSH, Wang C, Cao JJ, Wang GH, Wang XX, Wang K, Zhao XQ (2015) Characteristics of PM<sub>2.5</sub> emitted from different cooking activities in China. Atmos Res 166:83–91
- Li Z, Wen Q, Zhang R (2017) Sources, health effects and control strategies of indoor fine particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ): a review. Sci Total Environ 586:610–622
- Liu J, Man R, Ma S, Li J, Wu Q, Peng J (2015) Atmospheric levels and health risk of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) bound to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Guangzhou, China. Mar Pollut Bull 100:134–143
- Liu WX, Dou H, Wei ZC, Chang B, Qiu WX, Liu Y, Tao S (2009) Emission characteristics of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from combustion of different residential coals in North China. Sci Total Environ 407:1436–1446
- Marr LC, Dzepina K, Jimenez JL, Reisen F (2005) Sources and transformations of particle-bound polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Mexico city. Atmos Chem Phys 5:1733–1745
- McDonald JD, Zielinska B, Fujita EM, Sagebiel JC, Chow JC, Watson JG (2003) Emissions from charbroiling and grilling of chicken and beef. J Air Waste Manage Assoc 53:185–194
- Mohr C, DeCarlo PF, Heringa MF, Chirico R, Slowik JG, Richter R, Reche C, Alastuey A, Querol X, Seco R, Peñuelas J, Jiménez JL, Crippa M, Zimmermann R, Baltensperger U, Prévôt ASH (2012) Identification and quantification of organic aerosol from cooking and other sources in Barcelona using aerosol mass spectrometer data. Atmos Chem Phys 12:1649–1665
- Moret S, Conte LS (2000) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in edible fats and oils: occurrence and analytical methods. J Chromatogr A 882:245–253
- Nisbet IC, Lagoy PK (1992) Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 16: 290–300
- Park SU, Kim JG, Jeong MJ, Song BJ (2011) Source identification of atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in industrial complex using diagnostic ratios and multivariate factor analysis. Arch Environ Con Tox 60:576–589
- Pui DYH, Chen SC, Zuo Z (2014) PM<sub>2.5</sub> in China: measurements, sources, visibility and health effects, and mitigation. Particuology 13:1–26

- Qu YH, Xu GX, Zhou JZ, Chen TD, Zhu LF, Shields PG, Wang HW, Gao YT (1992) Genotoxicity of heated cooking oil vapors. Mutat Res-Gen Tox 298:105–111
- Ravindra K, Grieken SRV (2008) Atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: source attribution, emission factors and regulation. Atmos Environ 42:2895–2921
- Rogge WF, Hildemann LM, Mazurek MA, Cass GR, Simoneit BRT (1991) Sources of fine organic aerosol. 1. Charbroilers and meat cooking operations. Environ Sci Technol 25:1112–1125
- Rogge WF, Hildemann LM, Mazurek MA, Cass GR, Simoneit BRT (1993) Sources of fine organic aerosol. 2. Noncatalyst and catalyst-equipped automobiles and heavy-duty diesel trucks. Environ Sci Technol 27:636–651
- Rogge WF, Hildemann LM, Mazurek MA, Cass GR, Simoneit BRT (1998) Sources of fine organic aerosol. 9. Pine, oak, and synthetic log combustion in residential fireplaces. Environ Sci Technol 32:13– 22
- Saito E, Tanaka N, Miyazaki A, Tsuzaki M (2014) Concentration and particle size distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons formed by thermal cooking. Food Chem 153:285–291
- Schauer JJ, Kleeman MJ, Cass GR, Simoneit BRT (1999) Measurement of emissions from air pollution sources. 1. C1 through C29 organic compounds from meat charbroiling. Environ Sci Technol 33:1566– 1577
- Schauer JJ, Mazurek MA, Cass GR (2007) Source apportionment of airborne particulate matter using organic compounds as tracers. Atmos Environ 41:241–259
- See SW, Balasubramanian R (2006) Risk assessment of exposure to indoor aerosols associated with Chinese cooking. Environ Res 102: 197–204
- See SW, Balasubramanian R (2008) Chemical characteristics of fine particles emitted from different gas cooking methods. Atmos Environ 42:8852–8862
- Sepetdjian E, Saliba N, Shihadeh A (2010) Carcinogenic PAH in watepipe charcoal products. Food Chem Toxicol 48:3242–3245
- Sheesley RJ, Schauer JJ, Chowdhury Z, Cass GR, Simoneit BRT (2003) Characterization of organic aerosols emitted from the combustion of biomass indigenous to South Asia. J Geophys Res Atomos 108: 1761–1762
- Shen G, Tao S, Chen Y, Zhang Y, Wei S, Xue M, Wang B, Wang R, Lu Y, Li W, Shen H, Huang Y, Chen H (2013) Emission characteristics for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from solid fuels burned in domestic stoves in rural China. Environ Sci Technol 47:14485–14494
- Tanaka N, Ohtake K, Tsuzaki M, Miyazaki A (2012) Analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in oil-mist emitted from food grilling. Bunseki. Kagaku 61:77–86
- Tobiszewski M, Namieśnik J (2012) PAH diagnostic ratios for the identification of pollution emission sources. Environ Pollut 162:110–119
- Wang D, Pakbin P, Shafer MM, Antkiewicz D, Schauer JJ, Sioutas C (2013) Macrophage reactive oxygen species activity of watersoluble and water-insoluble fractions of ambient coarse, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and ultrafine particulate matter (PM) in Los Angeles. Atmos Environ 77: 301–310
- Wang L, Xiang Z, Stevanovic S, Ristovski Z, Salimi F, Gao J, Wang H, Li L (2017) Role of Chinese cooking emissions on ambient air quality and human health. Sci Total Environ 589:173–181
- Wasserman AE (1972) Thermally produced flavor components in the aroma of meat and poultry. J Agr Food Chem 20:737–741
- Watson JG, Fujita EM, Chow JC, Zielinska B, Richards LW, Neff W, Dietrich D (1998) Northern front range air quality study. Final report
- Wu PF, Chiang TA, Wang LF, Chang CS, Ko YC (1998) Nitro-polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon contents of fumes from heated cooking oils and prevention of mutagenicity by catechin. Mutat Res 403:29–34
- Wu PF, Chiang TA, Ko YC, Lee H (1999) Genotoxicity of fumes from heated cooking oils produced in Taiwan. Environ Res 80:122–126

- Yadav AK, Kumar K, Kasim AMBHA, Singh MP, Parida SK, Sharan M (2003) Visibility and incidence of respiratory diseases during the 1998 haze episode in Brunei Darussalam. Pure Appl Geophys 160:265–277
- Yao Z, Li J, Wu B, Hao X, Yin Y, Jiang X (2015) Characteristics of PAHs from deep-frying and frying cooking fumes. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:16110–16120
- Yassaa N, Meklati BY, Cecinato A, Marino F (2001) Particulate n-alkanes, n-alkanoic acids and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the atmosphere of Algiers city area. Atmos Environ 35:1843–1851
- Yunker MB, Macdonald RW, Vingarzan R, Mitchell RH, Goyette D, Sylvestre S (2002) PAHs in the Fraser River basin: a critical appraisal of PAH ratios as indicators of PAH source and composition. Org Geochem 33:489–515
- Zhang J, Liang Y, Ma Z, Lin A, Zhao H (2016) Characteristics of PAHs source profile in PM<sub>2.5</sub> from typical source in Beijing. Chinese. J Environ Eng 10:3141–3146

- Zhang N, Han B, He F, Xu J, Zhao R, Zhang Y, Bai Z (2017) Chemical characteristic of PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission and inhalational carcinogenic risk of domestic Chinese cooking. Environ Pollut 227:24–30
- Zhang Y, Schauer JJ, Zhang Y, Zeng L, Wei Y, Liu Y, Shao M (2008) Characteristics of particulate carbon emissions from real-world Chinese coal combustion. Environ Sci Technol 42:5068–5073
- Zhao Y, Hu M, Slanina S, Zhang Y (2007) The molecular distribution of fine particulate organic matter emitted from Western-style fast food cooking. Atmos Environ 41:8163–8171
- Zhong L, Goldberg MS, Gao YT, Jin F (1999) Lung cancer and indoor air pollution arising from Chinese-style cooking among nonsmoking women living in Shanghai, China. Epidemiology 10:488
- Zhu L, Wang J (2003) Sources and patterns of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons pollution in kitchen air, China. Chemosphere 50:611–618