The rural carbonaceous aerosols in coarse, fine, and ultrafine particles during haze pollution in northwestern China

# Chong-Shu Zhu, Jun-Ji Cao, Chuen-Jinn Tsai, Zhen-Xing Shen, Sui-Xin Liu, Ru-Jin Huang, Ning-ning Zhang & Ping Wang

Environmental Science and Pollution Research

ISSN 0944-1344

Environ Sci Pollut Res DOI 10.1007/s11356-015-5694-x





Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be selfarchived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



### The rural carbonaceous aerosols in coarse, fine, and ultrafine particles during haze pollution in northwestern China

Chong-Shu Zhu<sup>1,2</sup> • Jun-Ji Cao<sup>1,2,4</sup> • Chuen-Jinn Tsai<sup>3</sup> • Zhen-Xing Shen<sup>5</sup> • Sui-Xin Liu<sup>1,2</sup> • Ru-Jin Huang<sup>1,2</sup> • Ning-ning Zhang<sup>1,2</sup> • Ping Wang<sup>1,6</sup>

Received: 30 June 2015 / Accepted: 26 October 2015 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

Abstract The carbonaceous aerosol concentrations in coarse particle (PM<sub>10</sub>: Dp≤10 µm, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10  $\mu$ m), fine particle (PM<sub>2.5</sub>: Dp $\leq$ 2.5  $\mu$ m), and ultrafine particle (PM<sub>0.133</sub>: Dp $\leq$ 0.133  $\mu$ m) carbon fractions in a rural area were investigated during haze events in northwestern China. The results indicated that PM<sub>2.5</sub> contributed a large fraction in PM<sub>10</sub>. OC (organic carbon) accounted for 33, 41, and 62 % of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub>, and those were 2, 2.4, and 0.4 % for EC (elemental carbon) in a rural area, respectively. OC3 was more abundant than other organic carbon fractions in three PMs, and char dominated EC in PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> while soot dominated EC in PM<sub>0.133</sub>. The present study inferred that K<sup>+</sup>, OP, and OC3 are good biomass burning tracers for rural PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, but not for PM<sub>0.133</sub> during haze pollution. Our results suggest that biomass burning is likely to be an important contributor to

Responsible editor: Gerhard Lammel

Chong-Shu Zhu chongshu@ieecas.cn

- <sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Aerosol Chemistry & Physics, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 97 Yan Xiang Road, Yan-ta Zone, Xi'an 710061, Shaanxi, China
- <sup>2</sup> SKLLQG, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an, China
- <sup>3</sup> Institute of Environmental Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, No. 1001, Univ. Road, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan
- <sup>4</sup> Institute of Global Environmental Change, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China
- <sup>6</sup> School of Tropical Eco-environment Protection, Hainan Tropical Marine University, Sanya, China

rural PMs in northwestern China. It is necessary to establish biomass burning control policies for the mitigation of severe haze pollution in a rural area.

Keywords Particulate matter (PM)  $\cdot$  Elemental carbon  $\cdot$  Organic carbon  $\cdot$  Rural area

#### Introduction

In recent years, haze pollution is extremely severe in China, accompanied by poor visibility and air quality (Huang et al. 2014). Many studies have reported its chemical composition, source apportionment, and radiative effect (Li et al. 2012; Cheng et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2014; Zhang and Mao 2015). Chemical composition showed that carbonaceous aerosol constitutes a major fraction of the urban particles during haze events, which is essential for controlling particle levels and reducing the impacts on the environment and health (Huang et al. 2011, 2014; Zheng et al. 2011). Source apportionment of particulate matter (PM) indicated that the contribution of biomass burning is higher in a rural area compared to that in an urban area (Wang et al. 2006; Zhu et al. 2012). Control of primary particulate emissions and secondary aerosol precursors from fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning could be an efficient strategy for improving air quality in China (Cao et al. 2005, 2012).

According to the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) protocol of the thermal/ optical reflectance (TOR) method, four organic carbon fractions (OC1–4) and three elemental carbon fractions (EC1–3) can be defined (Chow et al. 1993, 2004) and EC can be divided into char (EC1–OP) and soot (EC2+EC3) (Han et al. 2007). Carbon fractions, OC/EC, and char/soot have been applied to identify the source apportionment of particles



Fig. 1 Geographic location of the rural site (*star*) with haze events of 17–26 January 2014

(Cachier et al. 1989; Cao et al. 2013; Kim et al. 2004; Zhu et al. 2014). Combining the tracer of  $K^+$ , carbon fractions were also inferred as biomass burning tracers for PM (Chuang et al. 2012). The previous studies of carbonaceous aerosols largely focused on the physicochemical characteristics and were mainly performed for urban areas in China (Cao et al. 2012, 2013; Fu et al. 2012; Huang et al. 2012; Zheng et al. 2011). Nevertheless, the investigation is scarce for PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub> simultaneously during rural haze events in China. The objective of this study is to reveal the distributions and relationships of carbon fractions and to investigate carbon fractions as biomass burning tracers for PM<sub>10</sub> (Dp≤10 µm, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10  $\mu$ m), PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Dp $\leq$ 2.5  $\mu$ m, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5  $\mu$ m), and PM<sub>0.133</sub> (Dp $\leq$  $0.133 \mu m$ , particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 0.133 µm) during haze events at a rural site.

#### Materials and methods

#### Sample collection

Sampling was conducted at a rural site (34.12° N, 108.62° E) in Huxian County, Shaanxi Province, northwestern China

Table 1 The concentrations of mass and carbon fractions for PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub>

(Fig. 1). The map presents aerosol optical depth, retrieved from satellite (Terra/Modis) observations during 17–26 January 2014 (http://www.nasa.gov). In the monitoring site, it was common for residents to use coal and biomass for cooking and heating in winter. The sampling point was on the rooftop of a private house, which was located at 3 m above the ground level in a village of Huxian County.

PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub> samples were collected simultaneously during the high-pollution event of 17-26 January 2014 by using two MiniVol Portable Air Samplers (Airmetrics Inc., 2095 Garden Ave. Suite 102 Eugene, OR 97403, USA) operating at 5 L/min and a personal active nanoparticle sampler (PENS) with 1.5 L/min, respectively (Cao et al. 2005; Tsai et al. 2012). The samples were collected on 47-mm (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and 37-mm (PM<sub>0.133</sub>) Whatman quartz microfiber filters (QM/A). All quartz filters were pre-heated at 900 °C for 3 h and then stored in aluminum foils before sampling. The filters were stored in a refrigerator at about -20 °C immediately after sampling to prevent the evaporation of volatile components. The mass concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub> were determined gravimetrically using a Sartorius MC5 electronic microbalance (Sartorius, Gottingen, Germany) with a  $\pm 1$ -µg sensitivity. The filters were analyzed gravimetrically after a 24-h equilibration at a temperature between 20 and 23 °C and a relative humidity (RH) between 35 and 45 %. The net mass was obtained by subtracting the average of pre-sampling weights from the average of postsampling weights. Differences among replicate weighings were  $<10 \ \mu g$  for pre-sampling quartz filters and  $<20 \ \mu g$  for post-sampling quartz filters. Field blanks were collected to correct the mass concentrations.

#### Chemical analysis

All the filters were analyzed for carbon fractions using a DRI Model 2001 Thermal/Optical Carbon Analyzer (Atmoslytic Inc., Calabasas, CA, USA). Carbon fractions were analyzed following the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE-A) thermal/optical reflectance (TOR) protocol (Chow et al. 2007). The method produced data for four OC fractions (OC1, OC2, OC3, and OC4 in a helium atmosphere at 140, 280, 480, and 580 °C, respectively), a

| Mean conc. and stdev. ( $\mu g m^{-3}$ ) |        | OC1  | OC2  | OC3  | OC4  | OP   | EC1-OP | EC2 | EC3 | OC    | EC  | PM conc. |
|--|--------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|-----|-----|-------|-----|----------|
| PM <sub>10</sub>                         | Ave.   | 14.2 | 16.0 | 23.6 | 18.9 | 29.4 | 4.8    | 1.0 | 0.1 | 102.3 | 6.0 | 318.2    |
|  | Stdev. | 4.6  | 4.4  | 5.5  | 4.8  | 12.9 | 1.3    | 0.2 | 0.1 | 24.6  | 1.5 | 84.7     |
| PM <sub>2.5</sub>                        | Ave.   | 11.9 | 13.0 | 19.1 | 15.0 | 23.8 | 4.1    | 0.5 | 0.0 | 82.9  | 4.6 | 208.1    |
|  | Stdev. | 3.5  | 3.5  | 4.6  | 4.9  | 11.9 | 1.1    | 0.1 | 0.0 | 20.9  | 1.1 | 73.2     |
| PM <sub>0.133</sub>                      | Ave.   | 8.9  | 7.8  | 15.4 | 8.5  | 8.3  | 0.1    | 0.3 | 0.0 | 48.9  | 0.4 | 79.0     |
|  | Stdev. | 2.3  | 2.6  | 6.4  | 3.7  | 3.4  | 0.2    | 0.1 | 0.0 | 18.1  | 0.3 | 28.1     |
|  |        |      |      |      |      |      |        |     |     |       |     |          |

pyrolyzed carbon fraction (OP, determined when reflected laser light attained its original intensity after oxygen was added to the combustion atmosphere), and three EC fractions (EC1, EC2, and EC3 in a 2 % oxygen/98 % helium atmosphere at 580, 740, and 840 °C, respectively). The IMPROVE protocol defined OC as OC1+OC2+OC3+OC4+OP and EC as EC1+EC2+EC3-OP. The EC fraction was also divided into char and soot. Char is defined as EC1 minus OP, and soot is defined as the sum of EC2 and EC3 (Han et al. 2009). The analyzer was calibrated with known quantities of CH<sub>4</sub> each day. Replicate analyses were performed once every ten samples. A blank sample was also analyzed in order to correct the sample results. The detailed quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures have been described elsewhere (Cao et al. 2003; Chow et al. 2011).

A Dionex-600 Ion Chromatograph (Dionex Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was used to determine the concentration of  $K^+$ . The instrument was equipped with an IonPacCS12A column (20 mM methanesulfonic acid as the eluent) to analyze the cations. The minimum detection limit was 0.001 µg/mL for  $K^+$ . Standard reference materials produced by the National Research Center for Certified Reference Materials (Beijing, China) were analyzed for QA/QC purposes. All of the reported  $K^+$  concentrations were corrected using the field blanks. The experimental uncertainty was ±0.01 for  $K^+$ . The overall uncertainty in sampling and analysis is reasonable.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### PM concentration and carbonaceous contributions

As shown in Table 1, daily average concentrations of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{25}$ , and  $PM_{0133}$  were  $318.2\pm84.7$ ,  $208.1\pm73.1$ , and  $79.0\pm$  $28.1 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$  during the haze events, respectively. Similar variations of mass and OC were obtained for PM10, PM2.5, and PM<sub>0.133</sub>; nevertheless, this was not seen for EC. During the sampling period,  $PM_{0.133}$  accounted for 17 % to 30 % with an average of 24 % of  $PM_{10}$  and 28 % to 52 % with the average of 38 % of PM<sub>2.5</sub>. PM<sub>2.5</sub> accounted for 54 % to 74 % with an average of 64 % of PM10. The results indicated that PM2.5 comprised a large fraction in  $PM_{10}$  during the sampling period. It is worthy to note that measurements of filter samples are influenced by both positive and negative artifacts (Watson and Chow 2011). The previous results showed that the evaporation loss of collected particles during a single filter sampling process is severe, accounting for 5.8-36.0 % of PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Liu et al. 2014, 2015).

The average OC and EC concentrations for  $PM_{10}$  were  $102.3\pm24.6$  and  $6.0\pm1.5 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ , while those for  $PM_{2.5}$  were  $82.9\pm20.9$  and  $4.6\pm1.1 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ , respectively. The lowest OC and EC concentrations were obtained for  $PM_{0.133}$  with  $48.9\pm18.1$  and  $0.4\pm0.3 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ , respectively (Table 1). The ratios of



Fig. 2 Variations of mass, OC, and EC for PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub>

maximum OC to minimum OC for  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{0.133}$  were 2.3, 2.3, and 3.7, respectively, while those for EC were 2.1, 2.2, and 3.5, respectively (Fig. 2). The results indicated the variability for OC and EC were comparable among  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{0.133}$ .

As shown in Fig. 3, total carbonaceous aerosol (TCA= OC×1.2+EC) contributed 41, 52, and 75 % of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub>, respectively (White and Roberts 1977; Turpin and Lim 2001). OC accounted for 33, 41, and 62 % of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub>, whereas EC accounted for 2, 2.4, and 0.4 % of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub>, respectively. Less than 2.5 % of char and soot resided in PMs was observed. The percentage of TCA in PM<sub>10</sub> was lowest among three PM sizes due to higher contributions of geological matter in coarse particles.

#### Carbon fractions for PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub>

As shown in Table 1, for  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ , OC3 was the most abundant carbon fraction, followed by OC4, OC2, and OC1 in OC fractions. EC1–OP is dominant in EC fractions. The



Fig. 3 Contributions of carbon fractions to PMs



Fig. 4 Percentage contributions of carbon fraction to total carbon

ranking order for PM<sub>0.133</sub> was OC3>OC1>OC4>OC2 and EC2>EC1-OP>EC3, respectively. OP was the most abundant carbonaceous fraction in both  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ , indicating a large amount of low-volatility species in OC particles of  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{25}$ , e.g., humic-like substances as stated by Schmidl et al. (2008). The concentration of EC1-OP was higher than any other elemental carbon fractions in PM<sub>10</sub> and  $PM_{2.5}$ , while EC2 was the highest in  $PM_{0.133}$ . EC3 was almost under the method detection limit in PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and  $PM_{0.133}$ . The results showed that char dominated EC in  $PM_{10}$ and PM<sub>2.5</sub> and soot dominated EC in PM<sub>0.133</sub>, which were consistent with the previous study (Zhu et al. 2010).  $PM_{0.133}$ OC accounted for 59 % of PM<sub>2.5</sub> OC, whereas PM<sub>0.133</sub> EC accounted for 9 % of  $PM_{2.5}$  EC.  $PM_{2.5}$  OC accounted for 81 %of  $PM_{10}$  OC, and that for  $PM_{2.5}$  EC to  $PM_{10}$  EC was 77 %, which reflected the presence of richer OC and EC content for PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Less contribution of EC was observed in PM<sub>0.133</sub>.

There were distinct differences among  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{0.133}$  samples for carbon fraction contributions (Fig. 4). The contributions of four organic carbon fractions (OC1, OC2, OC3, and OC4) to total carbon (TC=OC+EC) in  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{0.133}$  were comparable, which ranged from 12.7 % to 22 % in  $PM_{10}$ , 13 % to 21.8 % in  $PM_{2.5}$ ,

and 16 % to 31 % in  $PM_{0.133}$ , respectively. EC1–OP accounted for 4.5 % and 4.8 % of TC in  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  samples, higher than that in  $PM_{0.133}$  samples (0.2 %). EC2 contributed 1 % for TC in  $PM_{10}$  samples, while lower contributions of 0.6 % and 0.7 % were found in  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{0.133}$ , respectively. The results were consistent with the previous study which showed EC1–OP dominated EC in coarse and fine particulate matter (Zhu et al. 2010).

## Correlations of carbon fractions for $PM_{10}$ , $PM_{2.5}$ , and $PM_{0.133}$

Figure 5 shows that OC and EC are moderately correlated in  $PM_{10}$  (R=0.66, p=0.03925) and  $PM_{25}$  (R=0.67, p=0.03445), respectively, indicating that OC and EC for PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> are produced from complicated contributors. The result is different from the carbonaceous content in PM<sub>0,133</sub>, as OC and EC are significantly correlated (R=0.92, p<0.0001). Correlations among carbon fractions of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{0.133}$  are shown as follows. Strong correlations were observed among OC1, OC2, and OC3 for  $PM_{10}$  (R, 0.84~0.99) and  $PM_{2.5}$  (R,  $0.85 \sim 0.99$ ), which attributed to the common contributors of OC1-3. OC4 was not correlated evidently with other fractions for PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>25</sub>, except that between OC4 and EC1-OP for  $PM_{10}$ . There was a low correlation between EC2 and EC3, and EC3 and EC1–OP for  $PM_{10}$  ( $R\approx 0.70$ ). Low correlations were obtained among other carbon fractions for PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Significant correlations among carbon fractions for PM<sub>0.133</sub> showed impacts from a combination of common source contributions.

The average ratios of OC to EC were 17.3 and 18.1 for  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ , respectively, while those for  $PM_{0.133}$  were much higher. The ratios are much higher than those from previous researches (Cao et al. 2007; Chow et al. 2004). The higher OC to EC ratio for  $PM_{0.133}$  is due to the lower amount of EC contained in  $PM_{0.133}$  and a certain amount of volatile organic compounds, which may have been condensed to become OC after leaving the burning sites (Robinson et al. 2007). These results indicate that OC concentrations at the rural site would be heavily influenced by secondary OC

Fig. 5 Relationships among carbonaceous species





compounds or biomass burning emissions, which can affect the OC/EC ratio significantly.

The ratios of char to soot were 4.4, 8.9, and 0.2 for  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{0.133}$ , respectively (Fig. 5). The ratio for  $PM_{2.5}$  was similar to the value from biomass burning sources (Cao et al. 2005). For  $PM_{0.133}$ , the char to soot values vary low because the amounts of char and soot in  $PM_{0.133}$  are very low and invariable (char,  $0 \sim 0.6 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ; soot,  $0 \sim 0.9 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ). OC to EC and char to soot ratios among

 $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{0.133}$  varied largely, which indicated the effect of PM size distribution on source identification is worth investigating.

### Assessment of biomass burning tracers for $PM_{10}$ , $PM_{2.5}$ , and $PM_{0.133}$

Aerosol K<sup>+</sup> is the recognized biomass burning tracer (Andreae and Merlet 2001). Therefore,  $K^+$  can be used to assess the capability of carbon fractions as biomass burning tracers (Chuang et al. 2012). The investigations of carbon fractions as biomass burning tracers among PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>0.133</sub> were also conducted in the present study. K<sup>+</sup> had a significant correlation with  $PM_{10}$  (R=0.92, p<0.0001) and  $PM_{2.5}$  (R= 0.96, p < 0.0001), while a poor correlation (R=0.30, p=0.41547) was found between  $K^+$  and  $PM_{0.133}$  (Fig. 6). The results indicated biomass burning emissions were the important contributors to  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  at the rural site. K<sup>+</sup> is a primary product from biomass burning emissions, while the secondary condensation of gaseous precursors contributes largely to PM<sub>0.133</sub> when biomass burning smoke is cooled as well as other factors (Hata et al. 2014), which contributed to the poor correlation between  $K^+$  and  $PM_{0.133}$ .

Among the resolved compositions,  $K^+$  is highly correlated with OP (*R*=0.91, *p*=0.00026) and moderately with EC2 (*R*= 0.75, *p*=0.01), OC3 (*R*=0.74, *p*=0.014), and OC2 (*R*=0.68,



Fig. 7 Correlations among K<sup>+</sup> and carbon fractions

p=0.03) in PM<sub>10</sub>. K<sup>+</sup> is also highly correlated with OP (R= 0.90, p=0.00035) and moderately with OC2 (R=0.64, p= 0.04827) and OC3 (R=0.65, p=0.04057) in PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Poor correlations among K<sup>+</sup> and PM<sub>0.133</sub> carbon fractions were observed at the rural site (R, 0.17~0.32), which was consistent with the suggestion discussed in the previous section that secondary condensation of gaseous precursors from biomass burning was an important source of PM<sub>0.133</sub> in the rural area (Fig. 7).

Based on this assessment, OP, OC3, and EC2 for  $PM_{10}$  can be biomass burning tracers; those for  $PM_{2.5}$  are OP, OC2, and OC3, but no carbon fraction can be a biomass burning tracer for  $PM_{0.133}$ . Certainly, there are also some limitations in the study, such as different samplers using, and the uncertainty of the thermal optical analysis.

#### Conclusions

In this study, the carbon fractions of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{0.133}$  at a rural site during haze events were determined. The main findings are as follows:

- (1) The concentrations of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{0.133}$  during the haze events were  $318.2\pm84.7$ ,  $208.1\pm73.1$ , and 79.0 $\pm28.1 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ , respectively. Given the correlations among K<sup>+</sup> and PMs, the contributions of biomass burning were higher for  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  compared to that for  $PM_{0.133}$ .
- (2) Higher correlations among carbon fractions were obtained ed in PM<sub>0.133</sub> than those in PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, which showed the impacts from a combination of common source contributions for PM<sub>0.133</sub>.
- (3) OC3 and OP were more abundant than other organic carbon fractions in PMs. The present study inferred that K<sup>+</sup>, OP, OC3, and EC2 are good biomass burning tracers for PM<sub>10</sub>, and those for PM<sub>2.5</sub> are K<sup>+</sup>, OP, OC2, and OC3. A similar tracer was not observed for PM<sub>0.133</sub>.

This study provides new insights regarding the rural carbonaceous aerosol for coarse, fine, and ultrafine particles during severe haze pollution, and suggests that stringent controls on rural biomass burning activities could be efficient measures to reduce haze pollution in northwestern China.

Acknowledgments This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41271481).

#### References

Andreae MO, Merlet P (2001) Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning. Glob Biogeochem Cycles 15:955–966

- Cachier H, Bremond MP, Buat-Ménard P (1989) Carbonaceous aerosols from different tropical biomass burning sources. Nature 340:371– 373
- Cao JJ, Lee SC, Ho KF, Zhang XY, Zou SC, Fung KK, Chow JC, Watson JG (2003) Characteristics of carbonaceous aerosol in Pear River Delta Region, China during 2001 winter period. Atmos Environ 37:1451–1460
- Cao JJ, Wu F, Chow JC, Lee SC, Li Y, Chen SW, An ZS, Fung KK, Watson JG, Zhu CS, Liu SX (2005) Characterization and source apportionment of atmospheric organic and elemental carbon during fall and winter of 2003 in Xi'an, China. Atmos Chem Phys 5:3127– 3137
- Cao JJ, Lee SC, Chow JC, Watson JG, Ho KF, Zhang RJ, Jin ZD, Shen ZX, Chen GC, Kang YM, Zou SC, Zhang LZ, Qi SH, Dai MH, Cheng Y, Hu K (2007) Spatial and seasonal distributions of carbonaceous aerosols over China. J Geophys Res 112(D22)S11. doi:10. 1029/2006JD008205
- Cao JJ, Shen ZX, Chow JC, Watson JG, Lee SC, Tie XX, Ho KF, Wang GH, Han YM (2012) Winter and summer PM<sub>2.5</sub> chemical compositions in fourteen Chinese cities. J Air Waste Manage Assoc 62: 1214–1226
- Cao JJ, Zhu CS, Tie XX, Geng FH, Xu HM, Ho SSH, Wang GH, Han YM, Ho KF (2013) Characteristics and sources of carbonaceous aerosols from Shanghai, China. Atmos Chem Phys 13:803–817
- Cheng Z, Wang SX, Jiang JK, Fu QY, Chen CH, Xu BY, Yu JQ, Fu X, Hao JM (2013) Long-term trend of haze pollution and impact of particulate matter in the Yangtze River Delta, China. Environ Pollut 182:101–110
- Chow JC, Watson JG, Pritchett LC, Pierson WR, Frazier CA, Purcell RG (1993) The DRI thermal/optical reflectance carbon analysis system: description, evaluation and applications in U.S. air quality studies. Atmos Environ 27:1185–1201
- Chow JC, Watson JG, Chen LWA, Arnott WP, Moosmuller H, Fung KK (2004) Equivalence of elemental carbon by thermal/optical reflectance and transmittance with different temperature protocols. Environ Sci Technol 38:4414–4422
- Chow JC, Watson JG, Chen LWA, Chang MCO, Robinson NF, Trimble D, Kohl S (2007) The IMPROVE-A temperature protocol for thermal/optical carbon analysis: maintaining consistency with a long-term database. J Air Waste Manage Assoc 57:1014–1023
- Chow JC, Watson JG, Robles J, Wang X, Chen LW, Trimble DL, Kohl SD, Tropp RJ, Fung KK (2011) Quality assurance and quality control for thermal/optical analysis of aerosol samples for organic and elemental carbon. Anal Bioanal Chem 401:3141–3152
- Chuang MT, Chou CCK, Sopajareepom K, Lin NH, Wang JL, Sheu GR, Chang YJ, Lee CT (2012) Characterization of aerosol chemical properties from near-source biomass burning in the northern Indochina during 7-SEAS/Dongsha experiment. Atmos Environ 78:72–81
- Fu TM, Cao JJ, Zhang XY, Lee SC, Zhang Q, Han YM, Qu WJ, Han Z, Zhang R, Wang YX, Chen D, Henze DK (2012) Carbonaceous aerosols in China: top-down constraints on primary sources and estimation of secondary contribution. Atmos Chem Phys 12:2725–2746
- Han YM, Cao JJ, Chow JC, Watson JG, Fung KK, Jin ZD, Liu SX, An ZS (2007) Evaluation of the thermal/optical reflectance method for discrimination between soot- and char-EC. Chemosphere 69:569– 574
- Han YM, Lee SC, Cao JJ, Ho KF, An ZS (2009) Spatial distribution and seasonal variation of char-EC and soot-EC in the atmosphere over China. Atmos Environ 43:6066–6073
- Hata M, Chomanee J, Thongyen T, Bao L, Tekasakul S, Tekasakul P, Otani Y, Furuuchi M (2014) Characteristics of nanoparticles emitted from burning of biomass fuels. J Environ Sci 26:1913–1920
- Huang LK, Yuan CS, Wang GZ, Wang K (2011) Chemical characteristics and source apportionment of PM<sub>10</sub> during a brown haze episode in Harbin, China. Particuology 9:32–38

- Huang H, Ho KF, Lee SC, Tsang PK, Ho SSH, Zou CW, Zou SC, Cao JJ, Xu HM (2012) Characteristics of carbonaceous aerosol in PM<sub>2.5</sub>: Pearl Delta River Region, China. Atmos Res 104–105:227–236
- Huang RJ, Zhang YL, Bozzetti C, Ho KF, Cao JJ, Han YM, Dällenbach KR, Slowik JG, Platt SM, Canonaco F, Zotter P, Wolf R, Pieber SM, Bruns EA, Crippa M, Ciarelli G, Piazzalunga A, Schwikowski M, Abbaszade G, Schnelle-Kreis J, Zimmermann R, An ZS, Szidat S, Baltensperger U, EI Haddad I, Prévôt ASH (2014) High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China. Nature 514:218–222
- Kim E, Hopke PK, Edgerton ES (2004) Improving source identification of Atlanta aerosol using temperature resolved carbon fractions in positive matrix factorization. Atmos Environ 38:3349–3362
- Li W, Shi Z, Zhang D, Zhang X, Li P, Feng Q, Yuan Q, Wang W (2012) Haze particles over a coal-burning region in the China Loess Plateau in winter: three flight missions in December 2010. J Geophys Res 117, D12306. doi:10.1029/2012JD017720
- Liu CN, Lin SF, Awasthi A, Tsai CJ, Wu YC, Chen CF (2014) Sampling and conditioning artifacts of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in filter-based samplers. Atmos Environ 85:48–53
- Liu CN, Lin SF, Tsai CJ, Wu YC, Chen CF (2015) Theoretical model for the evaporation loss of PM<sub>2.5</sub> during filter sampling. Atmos Environ 109:79–86
- Robinson AL, Donahue NM, Shrivastava MK, Weitkamp EA, Sage AM, Grieshop AP, Lane TE, Pierce JR, Pandis SN (2007) Rethinking organic aerosols: semivolatile emissions and photochemical aging. Science 315:1259–1262
- Schmidl C, Marr IL, Caseiro A, Kotianová P, Berner A, Bauer H, Kasper-Giebl A, Puxbaum H (2008) Chemical characterisation of fine particle emissions from wood stove combustion of common woods growing in mid-European Alpine regions. Atmos Environ 42:126–141
- Tsai CJ, Liu CN, Hung SM, Chen SC, Uang SN, Cheng YS, Zhou Y (2012) Novel active personal nanoparticle sampler for the exposure assessment of nanoparticles in workplaces. Environ Sci Technol 46: 4546–4552

- Turpin BJ, Lim HJ (2001) Species contributions to PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass concentrations: revisiting common assumptions for estimating organic mass. Aerosol Sci Technol 35:602–610
- Wang G, Kawamura K, Watanabe T, Lee S, Ho K, Cao J (2006) High loadings and source strengths of organic aerosols in China. Geophys Res Lett 33(22). doi:10.1029/2006GL027624
- Wang Y, Zhang RY, Saravanan R (2014) Asian pollution climatically modulates midlatitude cyclones following hierarchical modeling and observational analysis. Nat Commun 5. doi:10.1038/ ncomms4098
- Watson JG, Chow JC (2011) Ambient aerosol sampling. In: Kulkarni P, Baron PA, Willeke K (eds) Aerosol measurement: principles, techniques and applications, 3rd edn. Wiley, Hoboken, pp 591–613 (Chapter 26)
- White WH, Roberts PT (1977) On the nature and origins of visibilityreducing aerosols in the Los Angeles air basin. Atmos Environ 11: 803–812
- Zhang XL, Mao M (2015) Brown haze types due to aerosol pollution at Hefei in the summer and fall. Chemosphere 119:1153–1162
- Zheng M, Wang F, Hagler GSW, Hou X, Bergin M, Cheng Y, Salmon LG, Schauer JJ, Louie PKK, Zeng L, Zhang Y (2011) Sources of excess urban carbonaceous aerosol in the Pearl River Delta Region, China. Atmos Environ 45:1175–1182
- Zhu CS, Chen CC, Cao JJ, Tsai CJ, Chou CCK, Liu SC, Roam GD (2010) Characterization of carbon fractions for atmospheric fine particles and nanoparticles in a highway tunnel. Atmos Environ 44:2668–2673
- Zhu CS, Cao JJ, Shen ZX, Liu SX, Zhang T, Zhao ZZ, Xu HM, Zhang EK (2012) Indoor and outdoor chemical components of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the rural areas of northwestern China. Aerosol Air Qual Res 12:1157– 1165
- Zhu CS, Cao JJ, Tsai CJ, Shen ZX, Han YM, Liu SX, Zhao ZZ (2014) Comparison and implications of  $PM_{2.5}$  carbon fractions in different environments. Sci Total Environ 466:203–209